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ABSTRACT

Throughout the Cenozoic the global climate cooled, but until the Pliocene, temperatures in polar regions seem to have been higher than at present, and it is not yet clear if the Arctic Ocean was already ice-covered in the Miocene. Reconstructions for Miocene atmospheric carbon dioxide concentration do not give a coherent climatic picture: intervals of ice-free conditions in the Arctic region appear to correspond to low CO2, and intervals during which the Arctic Ocean was ice-covered had high CO2 levels. Here we present the results of climate modelling sensitivity experiments for the Late Miocene, considering different CO2 concentrations. In order to get ice-free conditions in the Arctic Ocean, a CO2 concentration of at least 1500 ppm is necessary. Concentrations this high are unlikely for the Miocene, and our results support onset of Northern Hemisphere glaciation earlier than Late Miocene. Compared to future climate change scenarios with enhanced CO2, the modelled temperature response to CO2 increase is slightly weaker in our Miocene sensitivity experiments. This dampened response is due to the decreased sea ice volume in the Miocene and the reduced ice-albedo feedback. Comparing our sensitivity experiments with quantitative terrestrial proxy data to give an estimation for pCO2 in the Late Miocene we find that model runs with 360 ppm and 460 ppm are most consistent with proxy data. This validation thus suggests that Late Miocene CO2 concentrations were higher than present but lower than 500 ppm.
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INTRODUCTION

The Miocene (~23 to 5 Ma) was part of the general late phase of Cenozoic cooling, but its climate was still a general hothouse situation. Various proxy data suggest it had a warmer and more humid climate than today (e.g., Wolfe et al. 1994a,b; Zachos et al. 2001; Bruch et al. 2004, 2006, 2007; Mosbrugger et al. 2005). In particular, the Miocene equator-to-pole latitudinal temperature gradient was weak, implying that higher latitudes were warmer than they are today (e.g., Wolfe 1994a,b; Bruch et al. 2004, 2006, 2007). Corresponding to warm polar regions during the Miocene, it was commonly assumed that the large-scale glaciation of the Northern Hemisphere did not start until the latest Miocene or the Pliocene (e.g., Kleiven et al. 2002; Winkler et al. 2002; Bartoli et al. 2005; St. John and Krissek 2002). However, some studies have raised questions about when significant Arctic sea ice first formed (e.g., Helland and Holmes 1997; Moran et al. 2006). It remains an open debate when sea ice first appeared in the Northern Hemisphere, although most recent evidences seem to support that the Arctic Ocean was already ice-covered in the Miocene (e.g., Moran et al. 2006). If so, polar regions in the Miocene may have been cooler than heretofore assumed.

Increasing carbon dioxide in the atmosphere is the primary agent for future climate change (e.g., Cubasch et al. 2001; Meehl et al. 2007). Thus, the amount of atmospheric CO2 in the Miocene is relevant to the question of what palaeotemperatures were then. Some studies suggest that the Miocene CO2 level was close to the pre-industrial concentration (280 ppm) or a little higher (e.g., Pearson and Palmer 2000; Pagani et al. 2005). However, other studies support a pCO2 being as high as 500 ppm (e.g., MacFadden 2005) to 700 ppm (e.g., Cerling 1991). Retallack (2001) even proposed that atmospheric carbon dioxide was higher than 1000 ppm until the Late Miocene. It is difficult to conceive that high latitudes would have been warm if CO2 was low in the Miocene, but it also seems improbable that polar regions were ice-covered if CO2 concentrations were high.

Previous climate model experiments for the late Tertiary concentrated primarily on either the roles of geography and orography (e.g., Ramstein et al. 1997; Ruddiman et al. 1997; Kutzbach and Behling 2004) or on the role of the ocean (e.g., Bice et al. 2000; Steppuhn et al. 2006) as a major influence on climate. In order to adapt their models to Miocene conditions, modellers must specify the concentration of atmospheric CO2; however the fact that the Miocene CO2 level is still debated, climate models of Miocene time intervals are quite variable (e.g., Kutzbach and Behling 2004; Steppuhn et al. 2006, 2007). Recently, Steppuhn et al. (2007) presented a sensitivity experiment for the Late Miocene, which analysed the effects of using a concentration of 2×CO2 (700 ppm) as compared to 1×CO2 (353 ppm). Even with 700 ppm, the Late Miocene model experiments indicated that the Arctic Ocean would still have been ice-covered (Steppuhn et al. 2007), results which appear to conflict with the fossil record. Furthermore, the heating of high latitudes in these high-CO2 model experiments occurred at the expense of warming lower latitudes, which conflicts with proxy data (Steppuhn et al. 2007). Steppuhn et al. (2007) concluded that while high CO2 did not explain warm high latitudes, palaeovegetation might. Miocene vegetation is indeed thought to have contributed to warm high latitudes (Dutton and Barron 1997; Micheels et al. 2007), but climate modellers still run into trouble when trying to understand warm high latitudes in the Miocene (Steppuhn et al. 2006, 2007; Micheels et al. 2007). A low atmospheric pCO2 is not sufficient to explain the warm Miocene climate, nor does a high concentration explain the distribution of temperatures (Steppuhn et al. 2007; Micheels et al. 2007). But what if CO2 was intermediate?

Geological processes such as the mountain uplift from the Miocene until today and their influence on climate had repeatedly attracted the interest for model experiments (e.g., Ramstein et al. 1997). In contrast, sensitivity experiments with respect to CO2 are rare (Steppuhn et al. 2007; Tong et al. 2009). Levels of carbon dioxide in the Miocene are not known for sure (e.g. Retallack 2001; Pagani et al. 2005), but realistic model experiments specifically require CO2 levels to be properly specified. Climate models are tools to test hypotheses and to understand specific processes – carbon dioxide is a general key factor for climate (e.g., Meehl et al. 2007), but in terms of Tertiary climate modelling the relevance of carbon dioxide is poorly understood. This situation is unsatisfying since the Miocene could serve as a possible ana-
logue for the future climate change if it were better understood (e.g., Kutzbach and Behling 2004). Three basic points still remain open for discussion:

1. How high must atmospheric CO2 be so that the Arctic Ocean is ice-free in the Miocene?
2. What was the general climate sensitivity to enhanced pCO2 in the Miocene? Is the Late Miocene comparable to future climate change scenarios?
3. How consistent are different Late Miocene CO2 scenarios compared to proxy data? Can we estimate a Late Miocene pCO2 from comparing models and independent proxy data?

To address these questions, we perform sensitivity experiments for the Late Miocene using an earth system model of intermediate complexity Planet Simulator. Based on a reference run, we present sensitivity experiments that consider pCO2 values ranging from low (200 ppm) to high (700 ppm). In addition, we perform a transitional experiment with a steady increase of CO2 by +1 ppm starting with 200 ppm and ending up with 2000 ppm. Finally, we use quantitative terrestrial proxy data to validate the model results. The results of our sensitivity experiments contribute to a better understanding of the role of CO2 for the Cenozoic climate history.

THE MODEL AND EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

The Planet Simulator

In order to perform our sensitivity experiments, we used an earth system model of intermediate complexity (EMIC) Planet Simulator (Fraedrich et al. 2005a,b). The spectral atmospheric general circulation model (AGCM) PUMA-2 is the core module of the Planet Simulator. The model has a horizontal resolution of T21 (5.6° × 5.6°). Five layers represent the vertical domain using terrain-following sigma-coordinates. The atmosphere model is an advanced version (e.g., including moisture in the atmosphere) of the simple AGCM PUMA (e.g., Fraedrich et al. 1998). As compared to the first ‘dry-dynamics version’, the atmosphere module now includes schemes for physical processes such as radiation transfer, large-scale and convective precipitation, and cloud formation. The atmosphere model is coupled to a slab ocean and a thermodynamic sea ice model, which means that the ocean circulation is not calculated, but the heat exchange between the atmosphere and ocean is represented as a thermodynamic system.

The slab ocean model uses a constant mixed layer depth of 50 m (see Lunkeit et al. 2007 for technical details). The sea ice model (based on Semtner 1976) calculates the sea ice thickness from the thermodynamic balance at the top and the bottom of the ice assuming a linear temperature gradient. In order to realistically represent the heat transport in the ocean, the models use a flux correction. It is also possible to simply force the model using prescribed climatological sea surface temperatures (SSTs) and sea ice. The thermodynamic ocean and sea ice model produces a reasonable amount of sea ice under present-day conditions (see Results), but it tends to overestimate the modern sea ice volume. It is known that the inception of sea ice in this model performs well under conditions with thin, seasonal ice (see Lunkeit et al. 2007 and references therein), but the performance for perennial ice is worse. The Planet Simulator also includes a land surface module. Amongst others, simple bucket models parameterize soil hydrology and vegetation. As compared to highly complex general circulation models, the EMIC conception of the Planet Simulator is relatively simple, but the model has a proven reliability (e.g., Fraedrich et al. 2005b; Junge et al. 2004). For a more complete description, we refer to the documentation of the Planet Simulator (Fraedrich et al. 2005a,b).

For this study, we use three present-day experiments (Table 1). They all use basically the same modern boundary conditions as the highly complex AGCM ECHAM5 (e.g., Roeckner et al. 2003, 2006), but atmospheric CO2 is set to 280 ppm (pre-industrial), 360 ppm (‘normal’), and 700 ppm (enhanced), respectively. The experiments are referred to as CTRL-280, CTRL-360, and CTRL-700 in the following.

The Boundary Conditions

As a reference base of our CO2-sensitivity experiments, we modelled the Late Miocene (Tortonian, 11 to 7 Ma), which we refer to as TORT-280. The boundary conditions of TORT-280 (Table 1) are based on Late Miocene simulations with the highly complex AGCM ECHAM4 coupled with a mixed-layer ocean model (Steppuhn et al. 2006; Micheels et al. 2007). The same Late Miocene configuration was used for another Tortonian sensitivity experiment with the Planet Simulator (Micheels et al. 2009). In the Miocene experiments, the solar luminosity and the orbital parameters are the same as in the present-day simulations. Orbital parameters triggered the Quaternary glacial-interglacial
cycles (e.g., Petit et al. 1999), but for the Tortonian we refer to a time span of about 4 million years integrated over several orbital cycles. Due to the coarse model resolution, the Late Miocene landsea-distribution in TORT-280 is basically the modern one (Figure 1), but it includes the Paratethys (after Popov et al. 2004). It is not possible to resolve some differences between the present-day and Miocene continent configurations. For instance, the present-day land-sea distribution represents an open Central American Isthmus because the modern land connection between North and South America is smaller than the model resolution. In the Miocene, the Panama Strait was open (e.g., Collins et al. 1996), and is represented as such in our boundary conditions. The palaeo-ography (Figure 1) is generally lower than present in TORT-280 (Steppuhn et al. 2006). It should be noted that there is some debate about the palaeoelevation of Tibet (e.g., Molnar 2005, Spicer et al. 2003). Spicer et al. (2003) suggest that southern Tibet was at its present-day height over the last 15 Ma. However, the mean elevation of the Tibetan Plateau in the Late Miocene was lower-than-present (Molnar et al. 2005), which is represented in our model configuration (Figure 1). Another important characteristic in our Miocene configuration is that Greenland is lower as compared to today (Fig. 1) because of the absence of glaciers (Fig. 2). Also the palaeovegetation (Fig. 2) refers to the Tortonian (Micheels et al. 2007). In particular, boreal forests extend far towards northern high latitudes, whereas deserts/semi-deserts and grasslands are more reduced than at present. Instead of the present-day Sahara desert, North Africa is covered by grassland to savannah vegetation in the Tortonian experiments.

The ocean is initialised using palaeo-SSTs from a previous Tortonian run (Micheels et al. 2007), and the Northern Hemisphere’s sea ice is initially removed. After the initialization, we continue the model integration using the slab ocean with the present-day flux correction (Figure 3). It is commonly known that because of the open Central American Isthmus the northward ocean heat transport in the Miocene was relatively weak as compared to today (e.g., Bice et al. 2000; Steppuhn et al. 2006; Micheels et al. 2007). Later in the Pliocene, the northward ocean heat transport was stronger than today (e.g., Haywood et al. 2000a,b). It is not an easy task to properly specify the ocean flux correction for a past climate situation (Steppuhn et al. 2006). With additional sensitivity experiments, it would have been possible to include different scenarios for the ocean heat transport, but this was beyond the scope of the present study because we aimed only to analyse a single factor, CO₂. Therefore, we have chosen the modern flux correction as an approximation for an intermediate state in between the relatively weak Miocene and the relatively strong Pliocene ocean heat transport. A recently published study (Tong et al. 2009) focussed on CO₂ in the Mid-Miocene using an AGCM coupled to a slab ocean model, which used a similar flux correction based on present-day SSTs and sea ice cover.

### The CO₂-Sensitivity Scenarios

The concentration of atmospheric carbon dioxide in the Miocene is still debated and values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXP-ID</th>
<th>boundary conditions</th>
<th>simulation years</th>
<th>$\rho$CO₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CTRL-280</td>
<td>present-day geography</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>280 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTRL-360</td>
<td>present-day geography</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>360 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTRL-700</td>
<td>present-day vegetation</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>700 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TORT-280</td>
<td>present-day geography + Paratethys palaeorography (generally low)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>280 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TORT-360</td>
<td>palaeovegetation (more forests, less grasslands)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>360 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TORT-460</td>
<td>present-day flux correction</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>460 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TORT-560</td>
<td>present-day flux correction</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>560 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TORT-630</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>630 ppm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TORT-700</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>700 ppm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TORT-200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200 ppm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TORT-INC</td>
<td>(restarted from TORT-200)</td>
<td>+ 2100</td>
<td>+ 1 ppm/yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TORT-1000</td>
<td>TORT-INC at year 900</td>
<td>1000 ppm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TORT-1500</td>
<td>TORT-INC at year 1400</td>
<td>1500 ppm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TORT-2000</td>
<td>TORT-INC at year 1900</td>
<td>2000 ppm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
vary from as low as 280 ppm (e.g., Pagani et al. 2005) to as high as 1000 ppm (Retallack 2001). As for the pre-industrial control experiment CTRL, atmospheric CO₂ is set to 280 ppm in the Tortonian reference simulation TORT-280. In addition, we run six experiments for which we set $p_{CO_2}$ to 200, 360, 460, 560, 630, and 700 ppm (Table 1). These runs are referred to as TORT-360 to TORT-700. All model experiments except TORT-200 are integrated over 200 years. The equilibrium is achieved after much less than 100 years. The last 10 years of each experiment are considered for further anal-

**FIGURE 1.** The land-sea distribution and orographic height [m] of the present-day experiments (top), and the Tortonian experiments (bottom).
ysis. TORT-200 is integrated over 100 years and it is used to define a transitional experiment. From years 101 to 2100, the atmospheric CO₂ steadily increases by +1 ppm per year. This experiment is named TORT-INC and covers the range of pCO₂ from 200 ppm to 2200 ppm. The maximum of 2200 ppm corresponds to a value which was realised in the Eocene (Pagani et al. 2005). We did not design a specific experiment for 1000 ppm (Retallack 2001) because, on the one hand, this value appears to be rather high for the Miocene compared to other studies (e.g., Cerling 1991; MacFadden 2005; Pagani et al. 2005). On the other hand, it is already included in TORT-INC except that the model might not be fully in equilibrium. For TORT-INC at 1000, 1500, and 2000 ppm (i.e., in years 900, 1400, and 1900), we refer to TORT-1500, TORT-1500, and TORT-2000, respectively. The setup of all our experiments is summarised in Table 1.

RESULTS

Global Averages of Temperature and Sea Ice Cover

Figure 4 illustrates that in the Miocene and present-day simulations, the global temperature increases and sea ice decreases with increased atmospheric carbon dioxide. The global tempera-
ture of the Tortonian runs was generally higher than in the present-day simulations (if CO$_2$ is the same in CTRL and TORT). Accordingly, the sea ice cover of TORT-200 to TORT-INC is also lower than in CTRL-280 to CTRL-700. With increasing CO$_2$, the global temperature and sea ice cover of TORT-INC follows the distribution of the runs TORT-200 to TORT-700 runs quite well. Hence, the CO$_2$ increase of +1 ppm is small enough to keep TORT-INC close to the equilibriums of TORT-200 to TORT-700.

Comparing CTRL-360 and CTRL-700 vs. CTRL-280 and the Tortonian runs, the response to increased pCO$_2$ was more pronounced in the present-day simulations. The temperature difference between CTRL-700 and CTRL-360 was +2.5°C, whereas it was +1.9°C between TORT-700 and TORT-360. Sea ice cover was reduced by –2.9% (CTRL-700 minus CTRL-360) and by –2.1% (TORT-700 minus TORT-360), respectively. Hence, the weaker response to a CO$_2$ increase is explained by the generally lower amount of sea ice in the Miocene experiments because the ice-albedo feedback was weaker.

Figure 5 illustrates the zonal average sea ice cover of TORT-INC with respect to CO$_2$. A critical threshold for the Arctic ice cover is around 1,250 ppm. At this level, the northern sea ice entirely vanishes for the first time, but it sensitively responds to climate fluctuations. If there is a small deviation (climate variability), ice-free conditions cannot be maintained. With an atmospheric carbon dioxide concentration of about 1,400 ppm, the Northern Hemisphere is permanently ice-free in TORT-INC. The sea ice cover on the Southern Hemisphere is generally maintained. However, only a few small remnants of sea ice remain if pCO$_2$ is higher than about 1,500 ppm.

### Zonal Average Temperatures

Figure 6 shows the zonal average temperatures of the simulations. The Miocene experiments are compared to the TORT-280 and the present-day runs, and TORT-280 y\to CTRL-280 respectively. TORT-280 were much warmer than CTRL-280 at in latitudes of 30°N (+4°C) and farther north (+5°C), whereas CTRL-280 and TORT-280 did not differ much (less than +1°C) at the equator. Thus, TORT-280 represents a weaker-than-present latitudinal temperature gradient of –4°C. The latitudinal gradient in TORT-200 was less pronounced than in TORT-280, but it was still weaker than in CTRL-280. With increasing carbon dioxide in the atmosphere, the all latitudes became successively warmer, but polar warming was much more intense. In TORT-INC at 2,000 ppm, the high latitudes heated up by +9°C compared to TORT-280, and tropical latitudes were +3.5°C warmer. Thus,
the temperature difference between pole and equator was 5.5°C lower than in TORT-280. The successive reduction of the latitudinal temperature gradient was a result of the sea ice-albedo feedback (cf. Figures 4 and 5). The temperature difference between TORT-2000 (i.e., TORT-INC at 2000 ppm) and TORT-1500 is generally less than for TORT-1500 vs. TORT-1000. The weaker response was due to the fact that northern sea ice vanished at around 1,400 ppm (Figure 5).

Between CTRL-360 and CTRL-280, there were only minor differences of less than +1°C, similar to the differences between TORT-360 and TORT-280. However, CTRL-700 vs. CTRL-280 as compared to CTRL-360 vs. CTRL-280 demonstrated greater polar warming than TORT-700 and TORT-360 vs. TORT-280, respectively. The CO2 doubling from 360 ppm to 700 ppm lead to a polar warming of +4°C under present-day conditions, whereas it is only +3°C in the Miocene. In lower latitudes, the response to the CO2 increase was about the same. Thus, the sea ice-albedo feedback tended to be weaker under Miocene boundary conditions than in present-day conditions (cf. Figure 4).

Spatial Temperature Anomalies

The spatial distributions of mean annual temperature and the sea ice margin in our simulations are shown in Figure 7. The increase of CO2 lead to a generally more pronounced warming in the present-day experiments as compared to the Miocene runs (Figure 7). For CTRL-280 to CTRL-700, the ice volume was greater than in TORT-200 to TORT-2000 (cf. sec. 3.1 and 3.2). Therefore, the ice-albedo feedback was more intense under present-day conditions. Moreover, the Paratethys dampened the effect of enhanced CO2 in the Miocene simulations. In TORT-20 as compared to TORT-280, the cooling from decreased CO2 occurred primarily in higher latitudes. This pattern was a contrast to the other Tortonian runs. Generally, the interior parts of the continents became warmer when CO2 increased. Not until a high concentration of CO2 and ice-free conditions were reached, did polar warming reach the same order of magnitude as over continental areas. In Central Africa, temperatures in the Tortonian runs remained more or less the same with increasing CO2; intensified evapotranspiration (evaporative cooling) dampened the temperature increase due to the greenhouse effect.
The Sensitivity Experiments vs. Quantitative Terrestrial Proxy Data

Steppuhn et al. (2007) established a method to compare Late Miocene model experiments with quantitative terrestrial proxy data. We used essentially the same method to test how consistent the mean annual temperatures (MAT) of the different Late Miocene CO$_2$ model runs compared to the fossil record. We used the terrestrial proxy data from Steppuhn et al. (2007). All data for mean annual temperature (MAT) are based on quantitative climate analyses of fossil plant remains from the Tortonian stage (early Late Miocene, ~11 to 7 Ma). For most of the data, the Coexistence Approach (Mosbrugger and Utescher 1997) was applied to micro- (pollen and spores) and macro- botanical (leaves, fruits, and seeds) fossils. The results of this method are 'coexistence intervals,' which express the minimum-maximum range of temperature at which a maximum number of taxa of a given flora can exist. Relying mainly on one reconstruction method reduces the impact of methodological inconsistencies. However, such data are not available for North America so we also included some quantitative climate data based on the CLAMP technique (Wolfe 1993), which has proven to be a reliable method for climate quantification on the American continent (cf. Wolfe 1995, 1999).

Because such data usually do not include a minimum-maximum range of temperature, a standard range of uncertainty of ±1 °C was assumed for the data-model-comparison. We augmented the Steppuhn et al. (2007) data set with additional climate information from Wolfe et al. (1997) and new data from the NECLIME program (see http://www.neclime.de) published by Akgün et al. (2007), Bruch et al. (2007) and Utescher et al. (2007). The actual data set used in this study now comprises 78 localities. Because most of the proxy data are minimum to maximum mean annual temperature per locality, Steppuhn et al. (2007) constructed a similar MAT range from the minimum and maximum mean annual temperature range using 10-

**FIGURE 5.** The zonal average sea ice cover [%] of TORT-INC plotted against the CO$_2$ concentration [ppm] (vertical axis).
year-model integrations for those grid points that correspond to the palaeontologic localities. When both both climate intervals overlapped, model results and proxy data the temperature difference were considered to be zero; where the intervals did not overlap, the smallest distance between them was used as the measure of inconsistency between them. We modified the validation method of Steppuhn et al. (2007) because results of TORT-1000, TORT-1500, and TORT-2000 do not represent a time series over 10 years. Instead of creating temperature intervals from our simulations, we use point data. For TORT-1000 to TORT-2000, the point data are simply the mean annual temperatures of the years 900, 1400, and 1900, respectively. For TORT-200 to TORT-700, the point data were the mean annual temperatures averaged over the last 10 years of the model integrations. Except for this difference, our validation method followed the same principle as Steppuhn et al. (2007). Table 2 summarises the overall agreement of the Tortonian simulations with proxy data. In Figure 8, the temperature differences between the simulations and proxy data are mapped for all localities.

On the global scale (Table 2), the experiment TORT-280 fits the terrestrial proxy data best, but TORT-200, TORT-360, and TORT-460 are more or less consistent with them. Discrepancies of TORT-200 to TORT-460 are within ±1°C of the proxy data. These deviations from the fossil record are quite acceptable. As one might expect, TORT-2000 demonstrates the worst consistency with the proxy data and is globally much too warm.

Figure 8 shows details of the comparison of model results and proxy data. TORT-200 and TORT-280 globally demonstrate the best agreement to proxy data, but they are systematically too cool in higher latitudes. TORT-360 and TORT-460 indicate a better agreement in higher latitudes. However, both runs tended to be slightly too warm in the mid-latitudes, particularly in Europe. At the expense of heating up lower and mid-latitudes, TORT-560 to TORT-2000 were continuously more consistent with the high-latitude proxy data. Figure 8 illustrates that TORT-360 to TORT-560 agree best with the proxy data. This agreement seems to contradict Table 2, but one has to keep in mind that the proxy data are highly concentrated on Europe. Consequently, discrepancies in this region are over-weighted compared to others. Our results support a Late Miocene \( \rho\text{CO}_2 \) in the range of 360 to 560 ppm.

**DISCUSSION**

We defined Late Miocene climate models 1) to test how much \( \text{CO}_2 \) is necessary to produce ice-free conditions on the Northern Hemisphere in the Miocene, 2) to analyse the Miocene climate sensitivity with respect to variations of \( \text{CO}_2 \), and 3) to validate the consistency of the model results with proxy data in order to estimate how high \( \text{CO}_2 \) might have been in the Late Miocene.
How much CO₂ is necessary to produce ice-free conditions on the Northern Hemisphere in the Miocene? The first question can now be easily answered: based on our model results, a pCO₂ of at least 1500 ppm is necessary to produce an ice-free Arctic Ocean. Even the most optimistic independent estimations of Miocene carbon dioxide concentrations give values of about 1000 ppm or less (e.g., Cerling 1991; Retallack 2001). Thus, our model results suggest that other processes than CO₂ are necessary to explain an ice-free Arctic Ocean in the Miocene. Recent evidence from the Miocene fossil record suggests some significant cooling events and supports the hypothesis that sea ice covered part of the Northern Hemisphere.

FIGURE 7. The annual average temperature differences [°C] and sea ice margin (grey solid line). TORT-280, CTRL-360 and CTRL-700 are shown as differences to CTRL-280, and TORT-200 to TORT-2000 are shown as differences to TORT-280, respectively. Non-colored white areas represent non-significant differences with a Student’s t-test (p = 0.01) (continued on next page).
What is the Late Miocene climate sensitivity with respect to different concentrations of CO₂? Our present-day simulations, the global temperature increased by 2.5°C between TORT-360 and TORT-700. McGuffie et al. (1999) demonstrated that a doubling of CO₂ under present-day conditions leads to a warming of +2.5°C to +4.5°C. The most recent future climate change projections of the IPCC (Meehl et al. 2007), which consider a doubling of CO₂, give a similar temperature increase between +2°C to +4.5°C. Our present-day sensitivity experiments are at the lower end of that prediction, but still within the range of these climate change scenarios. Our Late Miocene simulations demonstrate a global temperature increase of +1.9°C when atmospheric CO₂ doubles (TORT-280 vs. TORT-560, TORT-360 vs. TORT-700). The Late Miocene climate sensitivity to changes in pCO₂ was weaker than today. Steppuhn et al. (2007) observed that a doubling of CO₂ under Miocene boundary conditions led to a global warming of +3°C. This is well within future climate change predictions, but stronger than we found in our simulations. The sea ice cover in Steppuhn et al.’s

Figure 7 (continued from previous page).
Tortonian reference run is close to the modern situation. In our simulations, even TORT-200 had a lower ice volume than CTRL-360 (Figure 4). Thus, the lower sea ice-albedo feedback dampens the Late Miocene climate sensitivity to CO₂ increase, although the Late Miocene is still comparable to the modern situation. The amount of sea ice is lower in our Miocene simulations than in previous Tortonian experiments (Micheels et al. 2007; Steppuhn et al. 2006, 2007) because we specified the modern ocean flux correction (Figure 3), whereas the previous studies considered a weaker-than-present ocean heat transport. The reduced sea ice cover in our Tortonian runs as compared to the present-day situation is explained by the warming effect of Greenland (no glaciers and lower elevation, Figure 1) greater forest cover (Figure 2). These results are consistent to the previous studies.

Future climate change projections also demonstrated that continents are more affected by global warming than are oceans (e.g., Meehl et al. 2007), which is consistent with the changes between TORT-360 and TORT-700. In contrast, our Late Miocene simulations do not show as much high-latitude warming (Figure 4). The reduced ice-albedo feedback in the Tortonian runs as compared to the present-day situation reduces the high-latitude response to CO₂. In the lower latitudes, future climate change projections and our Miocene runs are quite comparable. In contrast to Steppuhn et al. (2007), the different boundary conditions between Miocene and modern make a difference in the climate response to enhanced CO₂-scenarios. In general, our Miocene experiments demonstrate a weaker sensitivity to higher CO₂ than future climate change scenarios because sea ice is already reduced in the Miocene reference run.

How consistent are the different Late Miocene CO₂-scenarios as compared to the fossil record and can we estimate how high CO₂ was in the Late Miocene? Our model fits with proxy fossil data quite well when pCO₂ is between 360 to 560 ppm

| EXP     | ΔT | Δ|T| |
|---------|----|---|
| TORT-200| -0.45 | 1.08 |
| TORT-280| +0.42 | 1.09 |
| TORT-360| +0.69 | 1.30 |
| TORT-460| +1.07 | 1.48 |
| TORT-560| +1.88 | 2.07 |
| TORT-630| +1.94 | 2.12 |
| TORT-700| +2.40 | 2.53 |
| TORT-1000| +3.53 | 3.61 |
| TORT-1500| +4.99 | 5.03 |
| TORT-2000| +6.50 | 6.50 |
Micheels et al.: Miocene Climate Modelling

(Figure 8). TORT-200 to TORT-460 produced a global temperature that was less than ±1°C different from the proxy data. Micheels et al. (2007) found a global discrepancy to proxy data of –2.4°C in a Tortonian simulation with the AGCM ECHAM4/ML using almost the same boundary conditions and proxy data base. If discrepancy of this magnitude is acceptable, then even TORT-700 is a realistic scenario for the Late Miocene (Table 2).

There are, however, some crucial points which limit our interpretations. Most Late Miocene fossil proxy data cover the European realm, whereas most other key regions such as the high latitudes, Africa and Asia are poorly covered. Our

FIGURE 8. The mean annual temperature differences [°C] between TORT-200 to TORT-2000 and terrestrial proxy data (continued on next page).
sensitivity runs demonstrate that lower to mid-latitudes are warmer than suggested by proxy data, but this statement is based on only a few localities. With regard to the quality of proxy data, there are some shortcomings that may influence detailed data comparisons. This includes the time span covered by the proxy data, which may hide temporal climatic variability, palaeogeographical changes, which may change the exact position of data points with respect to the grid cell (e.g. in the Pannonian realm, cf. Erdei et al. 2007), and possible taphonomic biases, which may influence the results of different reconstruction methods (e.g., Liang et al. 2003; Uhl et al. 2003, 2006). Despite some possible shortcomings, quantitative climate proxy data based on plant fossils are consistent on a larger scale (Bruch et al. 2004, 2006, 2007), and they provide reliable information to validate global climate model results (Micheels et al. 2007; Steppuhn et al. 2007).

For our purposes, we consider the proxy data to be more reliable than our model results. The model experiments can be unrealistic because of weak points in the model and its boundary conditions. The EMIC Planet Simulator with its simplified parameterisation schemes and the boundary conditions explain rather more inconsistencies in our experiments. For some localities (e.g., in Africa, North America), the orography in the model might be unrealistic because of the coarse model resolution, the spectral transformation method or simply because the reconstruction of the orography is not fully correct. The coarse model resolution also does not allow for representation of details of the
land-sea distribution. For example, the model cannot resolve Iceland (Figure 8), which may explain why the changes between TORT-200 and TORT-630 indicate conditions in the North Atlantic region that are too cool. In addition, our settings for the ocean include some uncertainties. As mentioned in the model description, the sea ice model tends to overestimate the amount of ice and the performance of the ice model for annual ice is better than for perennial ice. However, with increasing CO₂ concentrations in our runs, the amount of perennial ice decreases, and the model performance should improve. The absence of ocean and sea-ice dynamics is a potential source of errors in our study, but it is computationally expensive to run fully-coupled atmosphere-ocean general circulation models in particular for a series of sensitivity experiments.

For this reason, AGCM experiments for the Miocene used either prescribed sea surface temperatures and sea ice (e.g., Ramstein et al. 1997; Lunt et al. 2008) or slab ocean models (e.g., Dutton and Barron 1997; Micheels et al. 2007). For past climates, ocean dynamics plays an important role (e.g., Bice et al. 2000). Due to an open Panamanian Isthmus, the northward heat transport in the Atlantic Ocean was weaker than today in the Miocene (e.g., Bice et al. 2000; Steppuhn et al. 2006). Because of using the present-day flux correction, the climate in Europe should be too warm in our sensitivity experiments. If we consider that temperatures in Europe might need to be corrected to slightly cooler conditions, the scenarios TORT-460 to TORT-630 would be more realistic. Taking all weak points into account, the scenarios TORT-360 and TORT460 fit best with the fossil record. Hence with some limitations, the simulations support evidences for an onset of the Northern Hemisphere’s glaciation before the Miocene (e.g., Moran et al. 2006; Kamikuri et al. 2007; Jakobsson et al. 2007).

• An atmospheric carbon dioxide of 1500 ppm is necessary to produce an ice-free Northern Hemisphere in our model. This value is much too high to be reasonable for the Miocene (e.g., Cerling 1991; Retallack 2001). Our sensitivity experiments support evidences for an onset of the Northern Hemisphere’s glaciation before the Miocene (e.g., Moran et al. 2006; Kamikuri et al. 2007; Jakobsson et al. 2007).

• The climate sensitivity to enhanced concentrations of greenhouse gases is reduced in the Late Miocene compared to the modern world. The Late Miocene represents a hothouse climate with a reduced ice cover, which dampens the ice-albedo feedback. However, the climate response to increases in CO₂ is only slightly weaker than in future climate change scenarios (e.g., Meehl et al. 2007). With some limitations, the Late Miocene can serve as an analogue for the future situation.

• The Late Miocene simulations with a pCO₂ from 280 to 630 ppm agree reasonably well with quantitative terrestrial fossil proxy data. At higher CO₂ levels, the consistency with proxy data becomes progressively worse. Based on our results, an intermediate concentration of CO₂ between 360 and 460 ppm is realistic for the Late Miocene.

The results of our modelling experiment should not be over-interpreted because of uncertainties in the model (e.g., simplified physical parameterisations) and certain features of the model configuration (e.g., the ocean setup). The agreement between our model results and proxy data emphasises the need for proxy data from crucial regions such as the high latitudes and Africa. More quantitative climate information from the fossil record from these poorly covered regions would be helpful to better estimate the reliability of climate model experiments.
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