Geometric morphometric assessment of Guanshan trilobites (Yunnan Province, China) reveals a limited diversity of palaeolenid taxa
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ABSTRACT

The Guanshan Biota is a typical Burgess Shale-type Cambrian-aged Lagerstätte with diverse trilobites. As such, the taxonomy of trilobites from the Guanshan Biota, especially the palaeolenid group, has been the focus of research over the last decade. To develop this research, we present a geometric morphometric analysis of cranidial shape in 60 specimens from three sections of the Guanshan Biota from the Wulongqing Formation (Cambrian Series 2, Stage 4), Yunnan Province, South China. We show that cranidia of *Megapalaeolenus deprati* and *Palaeolenus douvillei* occupy distinct regions of morphospaces and that *P. douvillei* and *P. "lantenoisi"* occupy the same region of morphospace. Combined with qualitative observation on the thorax, *P. "lantenoisi"* is here considered a junior synonym of *P. douvillei*. *Megapalaeolenus deprati* is still considered valid and is distinguished from *P. douvillei* by its rounded glabella, longer palpebral lobes, shorter ocular ridges, and more developed posterolateral projections. Its pleural spines are more curved, and pleural lobes are markedly wider than *P. douvillei*. These results highlight that the supposed diversity of palaeolenid taxa in lower Cambrian deposits need reconsideration, potentially using similar morphometric methods.
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INTRODUCTION

Trilobite species are traditionally erected with qualitative description and observation: an approach which is informative but sometimes might be unable to derive whether specimens represent morphological extremes of one taxon or are indeed another species. This is especially the case for Cambrian trilobites that exhibit high levels of intraspecific variation that reflect taphonomic alterations, spatially segregated localities, time averaging, and ontogeny (Hughes, 1991, 1994; Webster, 2007; Esteve et al., 2017). Palaeolenids from the Guanshan Biota (Cambrian Stage 4, Series 2, Yunnan Province, South China) are one group with a complicated taxonomic history due to inter- and intra-specific variation (Table 1).

Palaeolenid trilobites from the Guanshan Biota have been arrayed across four species of two genera: *Palaeolenus* Mansuy, 1912 and *Megapalaeolenus* Chang, 1966. According to previous studies, *P. longispinus* Zhang and Zhu, 1980 in Chang et al., 1980 can be easily differentiated from the other three species by its very robust second thoracic tergite and hypertrophied spines on the second thoracic tergite. *Palaeolenus “lantenoisi”* Mansuy, 1912 has been characterized by subparallel axial glabellar furrows, broader ocular ridges and, a longer preglabellar field and 13 thoracic tergites (Luo et al., 2007; Hu et al., 2013). *Palaeolenus douvillei* Mansuy, 1912 has been characterized by a more rounded glabella that is expanded in the anterior region, narrower ocular ridges, a shorter preglabellar field, and more than 14 thoracic tergites (Mansuy, 1912; Luo et al., 2007; Hu et al., 2013). *Megapalaeolenus deprati* Mansuy, 1912 has been characterized by a larger body, a more rounded glabella that is expanded in the anterior region, narrower ocular ridges, longer palpebral lobes, and 14 to 15 thoracic tergites (Luo et al., 2007, 2014; Hu et al., 2013). In sum, the characters used to differentiate these species are primarily on cranidial shape, with the exception of the hypertrophied spines of *P. longispinus*.

Studies over the last 20 years have considered whether *Megapalaeolenus deprati* and *Palaeolenus douvillei* were conspecific (Lin and Peng, 2004, 2009, 2016; Luo et al., 2007, 2014; Hu et al., 2013; Lin, 2014) using qualitative observation and traditional morphometric analyses of cranidial shapes. The validity of the genera has caused complications as the Wulongqing Formation, which contains the Guanshan Biota, was traditionally divided into two trilobite zones: the lower *Palaeolenus* Zone and the upper *Megapalaeolenus* Zone (Luo et al., 2008; Hu et al., 2013, 2017), and these

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Publication</th>
<th>Species considered</th>
<th>Relevant notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mansuy (1912, p. 27)</td>
<td><em>Palaeolenus douvillei</em>, <em>P. deprati</em> and *P. “lantenoisi”</td>
<td>First description of three <em>Palaeolenus</em> species using a few specimens (n=6) with all the cranidial features exhibiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chang (1966, p. 150).</td>
<td><em>Megapalaeolenus deprati</em></td>
<td>Moved <em>Palaeolenus deprati</em> into <em>Megapalaeolenus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chang et al. (1980, p. 234, pl. 73, figs 9-12)</td>
<td><em>Palaeolenus longispinus</em></td>
<td>Erected <em>Palaeolenus longispinus</em> using robust pleural spines on second thoracic tergite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lin and Peng (2004)</td>
<td><em>Megapalaeolenus deprati</em> and <em>Palaeolenus douvillei</em></td>
<td>Doubted the validity of <em>Megapalaeolenus</em>. Noted that holotype was deformed and considered <em>M. deprati</em> conspecific with <em>Palaeolenus douvillei</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luo et al. (2007)</td>
<td><em>Megapalaeolenus deprati</em>, <em>Palaeolenus douvillei</em> and *P. “lantenoisi”</td>
<td>Considered all species and genera to be valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lin and Peng (2009)</td>
<td><em>Megapalaeolenus deprati</em> and <em>Palaeolenus douvillei</em></td>
<td>Considered species conspecific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hu et al. (2013)</td>
<td><em>Megapalaeolenus deprati</em>, <em>Palaeolenus douvillei</em>, *P. “lantenoisi” and <em>P. longispinus</em></td>
<td>Suggested all taxa were valid species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lin (2014)</td>
<td>All the published 34 specimens assigned to palaeolenids (n=34)</td>
<td>Used cluster analysis to group all taxa into <em>Palaeolenus</em>. Suggested <em>P. douvillei</em> and <em>Megapalaeolenus deprati</em> conspecific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luo et al. (2014)</td>
<td><em>Palaeolenus douvillei</em> and <em>Megapalaeolenus deprati</em></td>
<td>Considered both taxa valid. Noted they are found in different members of the Wulongqing Formation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lin and Peng (2016)</td>
<td>All the published 34 specimens assigned to palaeolenids (n=34)</td>
<td>Used cluster analysis to group. Reconfirmed Lin (2014), suggesting <em>Palaeolenus douvillei</em> and <em>Megapalaeolenus deprati</em> are conspecific</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
zones have been considered uninformative in the light of possible synonymy of the genera (Lin and Peng, 2004, 2009, 2016). It is, therefore, imperative to ascertain if the two genera are synonymous. Further complications are present within the palaeolenids of the Guanshan Biota as the validity of *P. douvillei* and *P. "lantenoisi"* has been debated: authors have suggested that they were unable to confidently differentiate *P. "lantenoisi"* and *P. douvillei* when thoracic tergites numbers are not definitive (Hu et al., 2013). Conversely, *P. longispinus* is likely a valid taxon as there are a robust second thoracic tergite and hypertrophied spines on meraspid and holaspid specimens (Laibl et al., 2015). To this end, while *P. longispinus* is accounted for, *M. deprati*, *P. douvillei*, and *P. "lantenoisi"* need to be explored.

Geometric morphometric analyses are powerful tools for assessing morphology (MacLeod, 2001, 2002; Webster and Sheets, 2010; Crônier et al., 2015; Webster, 2015; Pates et al., 2017; Monti, 2018; Bicknell, 2019; Bicknell and Pates, 2019; Bicknell et al., 2018, 2019b) and can be more informative than traditional morphometrics (Zelditch et al., 2004; Aytekin et al., 2007). Landmark-based morphometrics have been effectively used to differentiate inter- and intra-specific variation in trilobites (e.g., Hopkins and Webster, 2009; Webster, 2011; Abe and Lieberman, 2012; Gendry et al., 2013; Esteve et al., 2017; Bicknell et al., 2019a) and semi-landmarks of cranidial shape allow taxonomically useful shapes to be thoroughly assessed. Here we use landmark and semi-landmark geometric morphometrics to explore cranidial shape in *Megapalaeolenus deprati*, *Palaeolenus "lantenoisi"*, and *P. douvillei* and combine these results with the qualitative observation in thorax to suggest that only two taxa are valid.

**GEOLOGICAL SETTING**

The Guanshan Biota are preserved in the Wulongqing Formation (Cambrian Series 2, Stage 4; Figure 1) and are therefore slightly younger than the Chengjiang Biota (Cambrian Series 2, Stage 3) (Hu et al., 2010, 2013, 2017; Liu et al., 2012, 2016; Ding et al., 2020). The palaeolenid trilobites studied here were collected from three sections of the Wulongqing Formation: the Huanglongqing, Longbaoshan, and Xinglongcun sections. The Huanglongqing Section (Figure 1B; HLQ specimens) is located at the entrance door of the "Huanglongqing Hotel" (N25°5’20” E102°48’14”). Approximately 6 m of the Wulongqing Formation is exposed here, and the presence of *Redlichia mai* Lu, 1941 suggests that the section probably represents an interval within the upper Wulongqing Formation (Hu et al., 2013; Figure 1A). Lower section is dark-grey, laminar, and with organic rich silty mudstones, and the upper section is a grey-green laminar silty mudstone. The Longbaoshan Section (Figure 1C; LBS specimens) is located along the Longbaoshan Mountain, Guandu County. The Wulongqing Formation exposed at this section is ca. 30 m thick, and the lower-middle Wulongqing Formation is preserved above the Hongjingshao Formation. The Wulongqing Formation here consists of yellow-green mudstones with siltstone interbeds. The Xinglongcun Section (Figure 1D; XLC specimens) is located along a mountain in Xinglong Village, northeast Wuding County. The section contains ca. 3 m of the Hongjingshao Formation and ca. 10 m of the lower-middle Wulongqing Formation. The Wulongqing Formation outcrops here as grey-yellow mudstones with siltstone interbeds (Liu et al., 2016).

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

The geometric morphometric analysis was conducted on palaeolenid specimens that were not tectonically deformed and had at least half a cranidium preserved. As such, 60 palaeolenids, originally identified as *Palaeolenus douvillei*, *P. "lantenoisi"* and *Megapalaeolenus deprati*, were analyzed. A total of 37 palaeolenid trilobites assigned to *Palaeolenus douvillei* or *P. "lantenoisi"* from the Gaoloufang Section, 15 assigned to *P. douvillei* or *P. "lantenoisi"* from the Xinglongcun Section and eight assigned to *M. deprati* from the Huanglongqing Section. All specimens are housed at the Early Life Institute, Northwest University, Xi’an, China.

Specimens were photographed with a Canon EOS 5D Mk. IV camera under normal light. These photographs were used for landmarking and semilandmarking. Landmarking and semilandmarking was conducted using the Thin-Plate Spline (TPS) suite of software (http://life.bio.sunysb.edu/morph/index.html). A TPS file was constructed using tpsUtil64 (v.1.7). The TPS file was imported into tpsDig2 (v.2.26). The xy coordinates were recorded for eight homologous landmarks and two curves: one curve with 30 points around the margin of the glabellar and a second curve with 50 points along the cranidial margin (Figure 2; Appendix 1). The curves were digitised in a clockwise direction. The semilandmarks were computationally adjusted into sliding semilandmarks by using the minimization of...
Procrustes distance prior to analysis (Adams and Otárola-Castillo, 2013). Semilandmarks summarize the curvature of the perimeter of cranidial features (Webster and Sheets, 2010), and landmarks combined semilandmarks summarize the overall cranidial shape (Hopkins and Webster, 2009; Esteve et al., 2017). An explanation of the landmarks is presented in Table 2. Curves and landmarks were placed preferentially on the right cephalic side (Figure 2). When the right side was damaged, the left side was digitised. The resulting TPS file was imported into the R environment. For

FIGURE 1. Geological and biostratigraphical maps. A. The Shitangshan Section showing approximate stratigraphic distribution of Redlichia mansuyi and Redlichia mai (modified from Hu et al. 2010). B–D. Studied sections showing their approximate stratigraphic levels respectively in Wulongqing Formation. B. Huanglongqing Section. C. Longbaoshan Section. D. Xinglongcun Section. E. Map of Kunming showing the localities of studied sections. The red lines with arrows showing approximate sampling interbeds in each section.
specimens that had the left side digitised, the landmark and semilandmark data were mirrored in R. The ‘geomorph’ package (Adams and Otárola-Castillo, 2013) conducted a Procrustes Superposition and Principal Components Analysis (PCA) of superimposed data (following Webster and Hughes, 1999; Wester and Sheets, 2010). Procrustes tangent coordinates were plotted against logged-centroid size values to explore patterns in specimen size. Finally, statistically significant differences between the mean shape of the major groups of specimens (discussed in Systematic Palaeontology) were explored with a Procrustes ANOVA following Bicknell et al. (2019a). Here the ANOVA groupings were the two sites where the different genera were found: the Huanglongqing section and the Longbaoshan and Xinglongcun sections. The Principal Component (PC) data were plotted and colour coded by sections as we were unable to confidently assign select specimens to either *Palaeolenus douvillei* or *P. lantenoi* (Appendix 2). This uncertainty reflected that preservation of just the cranidia or the lack of all thoracic tergites. Additionally, sagittal body length measurements were taken for complete specimens to further assess the relationship between body length and thoracic tergite count (Appendix 2). Note that complete here refers to those specimens that have an articulated cephalon, thorax, and pygidium.

**RESULTS**

Principal Component (PC) 1 explains 42.1% of the variance and shows groups that were split mostly with fixigena and glabella variation (Figure 3A, C). Furthermore, posterolateral projection, glabella shape, palpebral lobe length, relative length of preglabellar field, and anterior border all vary along this axis. More positive PC1 values (-0.0321 to 0.07481) contain specimens from the Longbaoshan and Xinglongcun sections and more negative PC1 values (<-0.0377) contain specimens from the Huanglongqing Section. Negative scores along PC1 are associated with a more convex and broader (tr.) glabella, longer (exs.) palpebral lobes, a narrower (tr.) fixigena field, a shorter (sag.), but wider (tr.) posterolateral projection, and an anterior border that is longer (sag.) than the preglabellar field. More positive scores along PC1 are associated with a narrower (tr.) glabella, concave in the middle axial glabellar furrow, shorter (sag.) palpebral lobes, and an anterior border that is shorter (sag.) than the preglabellar field.

PC2 explains 18.05% of the variance and reflects the shape of the preocular area, glabella shape, posterolateral projection, anterior and posterior facial suture branches, and orientation of the palpebral lobes. Positive PC2 scores are associated with a shorter anterior facial suture branch, wider (tr.) posterolateral projection, and a more rounded glabella. Negative scores along PC2 are associated with a longer anterior facial suture branch, palpebral lobes that are subparallel to the axial glabellar furrow, a narrower (tr.) posterolateral projection, and a narrower (tr.) glabella. Specimens

![FIGURE 2. Reconstruction of the *Palaeolenus douvillei* cephalon showing chosen landmarks and semilandmarks. Blue outlines show semilandmarks placement. White arrows indicate semilandmarks trajectory. The black lines show the orientations which are following Whittington et al. (1997). Abbreviations: sag. – sagittal; tr. – transverse; exs. – exsagittal.](chart)

**TABLE 2.** Locations of cephalic landmarks for analyzed specimens.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Landmark</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Intersection of anterior border with sagittal line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Intersection of border furrow with sagittal line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Intersection of anterior margin of frontal lobe with sagittal line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Intersection of anterior margin of occipital ring with sagittal line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Intersection of posterior margin of occipital ring with sagittal line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Intersection of axial glabellar furrow and posterior margin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Anterior border of palpebral lobe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Posterior border of palpebral lobe</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
from the three localities exhibit similar scores along this axis.

PC3 explains 9.45% of the variance and relates to the shape of preglabellar field, posterolateral projection, and preocular area. Positive PC3 scores are associated with a longer (sag.) preglabellar field, a rounder preocular area, and a rounder posterolateral projection. Negative scores along PC3 are associated with a shorter (sag.) preglabellar field, a relatively more convex preocular area, and a relatively more convex posterolateral projection. Specimens from the three localities exhibit similar scores along this axis, and there is substantial overlap of all specimens in the PC2-PC3 plot (Figure 3B).

Results of the Procrustes ANOVA (F = 17.657, p = 0.001, iterations = 999) show that there is very significant difference between the specimens in the Longbaoshan and Xinglongcun sections and those specimens in the Huanglongqing Section. Plotting log-centroid size against regressed Procrustes ANOVA scores (Figure 4) shows that larger specimens are from the Huanglongqing section while specimens from in the Longbaoshan and Xinglongcun sections are

FIGURE 3. Plots of principal component analyses. A. Plot of PC1-PC2 space where two main clusters are identified. Cluster in more positive PC1 space contains Palaeolenus douvillei specimens and the cluster in more negative PC1 space contains Megapalaeolenus deprati specimens. Thin-plate spline indicates the extreme shape for each axis. B. Plot of PC2-PC3 space showing no distinct clusters. C. Plot of PC1-PC3 space showing two distinct clusters of the P. douvillei and M. deprati. Clusters are all bound by convex hulls of proposed taxa.
smaller and still located in a different bivariate space as the Figure 3A (PC1 and 2).

**DISCUSSION**

In previous studies, *Palaeolenus “lantenoisi”* is considered to have subparallel axial glabellar furrows, broader (tr.) ocular ridges, a longer (sag.) preglabellar field, and 13 thoracic tergites (Luo et al., 2007; Hu et al., 2013). *Palaeolenus douvillei* is described as having a more rounded glabella, which is expanded in the anterior region, narrower (tr.) ocular ridges, a shorter (sag.) preglabellar field, and 14 or 15 thoracic tergites (Mansuy, 1912; Luo et al., 2007; Hu et al., 2013). The PCA conducted here illustrates the palaeolenid specimens from the Longbaoshan and Xinglongcun sections—taxa assigned to *P. “lantenoisi”* and *P. douvillei*—occupy the same region of cranidial morphospace, which suggests that their similarities in cranidia. Another major difference between *P. douvillei* and *P. “lantenoisi”* was the number of thoracic tergites. However, Hu et al., 2013 noted they were unable to confidently differentiate *P. “lantenoisi”* and *P. douvillei* when thoracic tergites numbers are not easily differentiated. We have also observed this condition in the sampled specimens. There are 21 specimens analyzed herein with 13, 14, and 15 tergites. We therefore coded Figure 4 for specimens with 13 tergites and those with at least 14 tergites. The results also show that, in bivariate space, both groups overlap. When sizes are considered, specimens with 13 thoracic tergites vary between 8.05 and 15.79 mm long, while the two specimens with 15 thoracic tergites are 13.65 mm and 19.45 mm long. Additionally, the majority (76%) of the complete specimens have 13 thoracic tergites. Taken together, this evidence suggests that the number of thoracic tergites in holaspid *P. douvillei* specimens may have varied (sensu Hughes et al., 1999, 2017; Fusco et al., 2004). However, a detailed study outlining the mechanism and indeed pattern of ontogenetic developmental requires a much larger sample size and was beyond the initial scope of our study. The occupation of the same cranidial morphospace, coupled with little other morphological variation, suggests that diagnostic features of *P. “lantenoisi”* represent intraspecific variation. *Palaeolenus “lantenoisi”* is therefore a junior synonym of *P. douvillei*.
The other distinct feature of the PC plots is that Huanglongqing Section specimens (=Megapa-
laeolenus deprati) occupy a distinct region of cran-
idial morphospace from the other specimens. The distinct separation of these specimens from Palae-
elenus specimens along PC1 supports the suggestion of Luo et al. (2007, 2008, 2014) that M. deprati is a valid genus and species. This separation in PC space also shows that M. deprati is distinct from P. douvillei (sensus Park et al., 2008). Apart from the shape differences in cranidia, pleural spines of M. deprati are more curved than that of P. douvillei, and pleural lobe of M. deprati is pronouncedly wider (tr.) than axial lobe while pleural lobe of P. douvillei is slightly wider (tr.) than axial lobe.

**SYSTEMATIC PALAEONTOLOGY**

**Family** PALAEOLENIDAE Hupé, 1952  
**Genus** PALAEOLENUS Mansuy, 1912

**Type species.** *Palaeolenus douvillei* Mansuy, 1912 by original designation.  

*Palaeolenus douvillei* Mansuy, 1912  
Figure 5

1912 *Palaeolenus douvillei* Mansuy. Mansuy, p. 29, pl. 3, fig. 6a-d; pl. 4, fig. 1a-d.  
1912 *Palaeolenus lantenoisi* Mansuy. Mansuy, p. 29, pl. 4, fig. 2a-e.  
1941 *Palaeolenus lantenoisi* Lu. Lu. p.83, pl. 1, fig. 15 a-b.  
1941 *Palaeolenus lantenoisi* Lu. Lu. p.84, pl. 1, fig. 13a-c.  
1965 *Palaeolenus douvillei* Mansuy. Lu, Chang, Chu, Chien, and Hsiang., p. 82, pl. 12, fig. 9.  
1965 *Palaeolenus lantenoisi* Mansuy. Lu, Chang, Chu, Chien, and Hsiang., p. 83, pl. 12, figs. 11, 12.  
2004 *Palaeolenus douvillei* Mansuy. Lin and Peng, p. 35, pl. 1, figs. 1-15; pl. 2, fig. 3.  
2008 *Palaeolenus lantenoisi* Mansuy. Luo, Li, Hu, Fu, Hou, Liu, Chen, Li, Pang, and Liu, p. 75, pl. 18, figs. 7-16.  
2013 *Palaeolenus douvillei* Mansuy. Hu, Zhu, Luo, Steiner, Zhao, Li, Liu, and Zhang, p. 96, figs. 120, 121.  
2013 *Palaeolenus lantenoisi* Mansuy. Hu, Zhu, Luo, Steiner, Zhao, Li, Liu, and Zhang, p. 96, figs. 122, 123A-N.


**Diagnosis.** *Palaeolenus* with long (tr.) ocular ridges, short (exs.) palpebral lobes, oblique to the axial furrow with a moderately robust glabella and a relatively broad (tr.) fixigena.

**Description.** The morphologically mature exoskeleton is ovate in outline, micropygous and 1-2 cm long (sag.). Cephalon is semi-elliptical. Ocular ridge is wider (tr.) compared to palpebral lobe length (sag.) and the relative width (tr.) of the ocular ridge and palpebral lobe length (sag.) are size-related. Smaller specimens have wider (tr.) ocular ridge compared to palpebral lobe length (sag.) (Figure 5A-D), which contrasts larger specimens (Figure 5 E-I). Glabella shape is variable: most specimens have a rectangular-shaped glabella that is expanded anteriorly in some larger specimens (Figure 5G-H). It is notable that some specimens only have a rectangular-shaped glabella without...
the expanded anterior (Figure 5A, D), and some specimens have a subcylindrical glabella (Figure 5I). Three or four pairs of glabellar furrows present. Fixigena is wider (tr.) than the librigena. The posterolateral projection is long (sag.) and narrow (tr.). Most specimens have 13 thoracic tergites with larger specimens displaying 14 or 15 tergites. Pleural lobe is slightly wider (tr.) than axial lobe. Semi-elliptic pygidium is divided into two parts by one transverse furrow. Its axial lobe is relatively wide (tr.) compared to its pleural lobe.

Remarks. The geometric morphometric results show that cranidia of *Palaeolenus douvillei* and *P. lanternoisi* occupy the same region of morphospace. *Palaeolenus douvillei* therefore shows substantial variation where most studied specimens have 13 thoracic tergites, indicative of *P. lanternoisi*, while large specimens have 14 or even 15 thoracic tergites, are indicative of *P. douvillei* (sensu Mansuy, 1912). Compared with *Megapalaeolenus deprati*, the palpebral lobes of *P. douvillei* are consistently shorter (sag.) or at most similar in length (tr.) to ocular ridges, with larger specimens having proportionally longer palpebral lobes than smaller specimens. The length (sag.) of the anterior border is variable and not size-related.

Genus MEGAPALAEOLENUS Chang, 1966

**Type species.** *Megapalaeolenus deprati* Mansuy, 1912 by original designation (Chang 1966).

*Megapalaeolenus deprati* (Mansuy, 1912)  
Figure 6

1912 *Palaeolenus deprati* Mansuy. Mansuy, p. 30, pl. 4, fig. 3a, b.
1941 *Palaeolenus deprati* Mansuy. Lu, p. 84, pl. 1, fig. 12a-c.
1965 *Palaeolenus deprati* Mansuy. Lu, Chang, Chu, Chien, and Hsiang, p. 83, pl. 12, fig. 10.
1966 *Megapalaeolenus deprati* Mansuy. Chang, p. 150
2008 Megapalaeolenus deprati Mansuy. Luo, Li, Hu, Fu, Hou, Liu, Chen, Li, Pang, and Liu, p. 77, pl. 19, figs. 4-14.


Diagnosis. Megapalaeolenus with short (tr.) ocular ridges, long (exs.) palpebral lobes, subparallel to the axial furrow with a robust glabella that is expanded anteriorly, a relatively narrow (tr.) fixigena, more curved pleural spines and wider (tr.) pleural lobes.

Description. Body ovate, with a length (sag.) of 2-3 cm. Cephalon half elliptic. Palpebral lobe consistently longer (exs.) than ocular ridge, subparallel to axial furrow. Glabella rounded and its anterior part expanded. The number of glabellar furrows is variable due to taphonomic alteration, but can be up to four. The fixigena is narrower (tr.) to equally wide compared to the librigena. The postero-lateral projection is relatively short (sag.) and wide (tr.). The number of thoracic tergites is 15. The pleural lobe is pronouncedly wider (tr.) than axial lobe. The semi-elliptical pygidium is much smaller than the cephalon and is divided into two parts by one transverse furrow. The axial lobe of pygidium is relatively wide compared to the pleural lobe.

Remarks. The geometric morphometric results show that Megapalaeolenus deprati and Palaeolenus douvillei occupy different areas of morphospace. M. deprati can be differentiated from P. douvillei by more rounded glabella, longer (sag.) palpebral lobes, shorter (tr.) ocular ridges, and more developed postero-lateral projections. Additionally, pleural spines of M. deprati are more curved and its pleural lobe is pronouncedly wider (tr.) than axial lobe.

CONCLUSIONS

The results of geometric morphometric analysis of 60 palaeolenid trilobites from the early Cambrian Wulongqing Formation show that the groups have been over-split and of the three species—Palaeolenus douvillei, P. “lantenoisi” and Megapalaeolenus deprati—only P. douvillei and M. deprati are valid taxa. Palaeolenus “lantenoisi” is here considered a junior synonym of P. douvillei. Further studies of Chinese Cambrian trilobites may highlight an over-stated diversity and that such studies are imperative to thoroughly understanding diversity during the Cambrian explosion.
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