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ABSTRACT

A commented-illustrated catalogue of non-avian dinosaurs housed at Museo de La Plata, Argentina is presented. This represents the first commented catalogue of the La Plata Museum dinosaurs to be published. This includes the type material as well as Antarctic specimens. The arrangement of the material was made in a phylogenetic fashion, including systematic rank, type material, referred specimens, geographic and stratigraphic location, and comments/remarks, when necessary. A total of 13 type specimens of non-avian dinosaurs are housed at the collection of Museo de La Plata, including eight sauropods, one theropod, one ornithischian, and three ichnotaxa. There are four Antarctic specimens, one of which is a holotype, whereas other corresponds to the first sauropod dinosaur registered for that continent.
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INTRODUCTION

The Museo de La Plata (MLP), constructed in 1884, is one of the oldest museums of natural history of South America. The collection of the División Paleontología de Vertebrados (DPV) was created in 1877 when the museum’s future Director, Dr. Francisco Pascasio Moreno, donated 15,000 pieces. In addition to those original bones, numerous dinosaur materials from Argentina and Antarctica (Figure 1) have been collected and accessioned during more than a century and housed at the DPV collection, reaching more than 600 individual bones. These include 13 type material and otherwise important dinosaur specimens, which include ornithischians (e.g., ornithopods, ankylosaurs), saurischians (e.g., theropods, sauropodomorphs), as well as three ichnospecies included (see Table 1 for a summary of the taxa presented).

Many fossil vertebrate catalogues of the Museo de La Plata were previously published, mostly devoted to mammals (Mercerat, 1891,
1895; Roth, 1898; Cabrera, 1926, 1927, 1929; Berta and Marshall, 1978; Bond, 1986; Mones, 1986; Prevosti and Reguero, 2000) and birds (Acosta Hospitaleche et al., 2001). Regarding non-avian dinosaurs, no published catalogue actually exists for the Museo de La Plata. Lydekker (1893) and Huene (1929) presented seminal papers where all knowledge of that time about dinosaurs was virtually enclosed there. More recently, Bonaparte and Gasparini (1979) published a taxonomic contribution in which they specified lectotypes for some sauropods housed at MLP. Mones (1986) presented in his Catalogue of South American fossils a resume of the dinosaurs collected to that time. Finally, Otero (2010) presented all the available appendicular material of Neuquensaurus housed at MLP as an Appendix. The only catalogue that has been done for the MLP dinosaurs was performed in 1973 by sauropod specialist John McIntosh who created a hand-written catalogue of all the dinosaurs housed at MLP to that moment. However, the catalogue was never published.
The purpose of this contribution is to release an accurate, figured, and updated commented catalogue of the type material and Antarctic dinosaurs housed at the collection of the División Paleontología de Vertebrados at Museo de La Plata. According to the Recommendation 72F of the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN), the objective of this paper is to ensure an explicit labeling with an accurate format.

### TABLE 1. Summary of the taxa presented in this contribution. Denotes missing at present.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Systematic rank</th>
<th>Collection number</th>
<th>Horizon</th>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Type/referred material</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Saurischia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sauropodomorpha</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sauropoda</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Amygdalodon patagonicus</em></td>
<td>MLP-46-VIII-21-1/2</td>
<td>Cerro Camerero Formation</td>
<td>Cañadón Puelman, southwest from Cerro Camerero, Sierra del Cerro Negro, Chubut Province, Argentina</td>
<td>Late Toarcian to Early Aalenian</td>
<td>Posterior dorsal vertebra (lectotype)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Laplatasaurus araukanicus</em></td>
<td>MLP-CS 1128, MLP-CS 1127</td>
<td>Anacleto Formation, Río Colorado Subgroup</td>
<td>Cinco Saltos and Lago Pellegrini, Río Negro Province, Argentina</td>
<td>Lower Campanian</td>
<td>Right tibia and right fibula (lectotype)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Microcoelus patagonicus</em></td>
<td>MLP-Ly 23</td>
<td>Bajo de La Carpa Formation, Río Colorado Subgroup</td>
<td>Right bank of the Río Neuquén, close to the city of Neuquén, Neuquén Province, Argentina</td>
<td>Santonian</td>
<td>Anterior dorsal vertebra (holotype)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>&quot;Titanosaurus&quot; nanus</em></td>
<td>MLP-Ly 18/19</td>
<td>Bajo de La Carpa Formation, Río Colorado Subgroup</td>
<td>Right bank of the Río Neuquén, close to the city of Neuquén, Neuquén Province, Argentina</td>
<td>Santonian</td>
<td>Incomplete cervical and dorsal vertebrae (holotype)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Argyrosaurus superb</em>us</td>
<td>MLP 77-V-29-1</td>
<td>Bajo Barreal Formation, Chubut Group</td>
<td>Left bank (northwest) of the Río Chico, near Pampa Pelada, northeast of Lake Colhué Huapi, Chubut Province, Argentina</td>
<td>Campanian–Maastrichtian</td>
<td>Complete left forlimb (holotype)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Antarctosaurus giganteus</em></td>
<td>MLP 26-316</td>
<td>Plottier Formation, Neuquén Subgroup, Neuquén Group</td>
<td>Aguada del Caño, Neuquén Province, Argentina</td>
<td>Coniacian–Santonian</td>
<td>Two femora, two fragments of a pubis, distal end of a tibia, two incomplete caudal vertebrae as well as indeterminate fragments (holotype)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithostrotia indet.</td>
<td>MLP 11-II-20-1</td>
<td>Santa Marta Formation</td>
<td>Santa Marta Cove, northern James Ross Island, Antarctica</td>
<td>Upper Campanian</td>
<td>Incomplete caudal vertebra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Neuquensaurus australis</em></td>
<td>MLP-Ly 1/2/3/4/5/6/7</td>
<td>Anacleto Formation</td>
<td>Neuquén Province</td>
<td>Lower Campanian</td>
<td>Sacrum and caudal vertebrae (holotype)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Neuquensaurus robustus nomen dubium</em></td>
<td>MLP-CS 1095, MLP-CS 1480, MLP-CS 1171, MLP-CS 1094</td>
<td>Anacleto Formation</td>
<td>Neuquén Province</td>
<td>Lower Campanian</td>
<td>Right ulna, left ulna, left radius, left femur (lectotype)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BRIEF HISTORY**

After the creation of the Museo de La Plata in 1884, its director, Dr. Francisco Pascasio Moreno, invited the Argentinean naturalist Florentino Ameghino to work in the Institution. Between his private collection (which was moved to La Plata), there were few bones collected from the current city of General Roca (Río Negro Province). Those bones, later attributable to the genus *"Titanosaurus,"* constituted the first dinosaurs remains housed...
With successive expeditions carried out by the Museo de La Plata to Patagonia, many dinosaur bones were collected. In an effort to obtain a comprehensive assessment of the growing collection of the DPV of Museo de La Plata, Moreno contacted Richard Lydekker to study the fossils housed there, between 1893 and 1894. Lydekker’s travel to Argentina was important because he recognized the existence of a family of dinosaurs, the “Titanosauridae.” The studies carried out by Lydekker were the first ones on Patagonian Mesozoic reptiles, denoting the fossil reptilian richness of Patagonia. The species erected and described by Lydekker were “Titanosaurus” australis (=Neuquensaurus australis), “Titanosaurus” nanus, Argyrosaurus superbus, and Microcoelus patagonicus, as well as theropod remains (Lydekker, 1893).

Between 1896 and 1898, the chief of the Paleontology Department of the Museo de La Plata, Santiago Roth, collected reptile remains from the “Middle” Cretaceous of Chubut Province and sent to Arthur Smith Woodward, who recognized a carnivorous dinosaur who named Genyodectes serus (Woodward, 1901; Rauhut, 2004; Salgado, 2007).

In 1921, the Museo de La Plata carried out a field work to the dinosaur-bearing sediments in Patagonia during which were collected numerous dinosaur specimens. The latter were studied by

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Systematic rank</th>
<th>Collection number</th>
<th>Horizon</th>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Type/referred material</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Theropoda</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genyodectes serus</td>
<td>MLP 26-39</td>
<td>Cerro Barcino Formation</td>
<td>Cañadón Grande, Department of Paso de Indios, Chubut Province, Argentina</td>
<td>Aptian-Albian</td>
<td>Incomplete portions of premaxillae, maxillae, dentaries, supradentaries, splenial, and tooth (holotype)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ornithischia</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ankylosauria</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antarctopelta oliveroi</td>
<td>MLP 86-X-28-1</td>
<td>Gamma Member of the Santa Marta Formation, Marambio Group</td>
<td>Santa Marta Cove, North James Ross Island, Antarctica</td>
<td>Upper Campanian</td>
<td>Partial skeleton, including cranial remains (holotype)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ornithopoda</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hadrosauridae indet.</td>
<td>MLP 99-I-10-1</td>
<td>Sandwich Bluff Member of the López de Bertodano Formation</td>
<td>Eastern flank of Sandwich Bluff, Vega island, Antarctica</td>
<td>Upper-lower Maastrichtian</td>
<td>Cheek tooth*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hadrosauridae indet.</td>
<td>MLP 96-I-6-2</td>
<td>Unit K1B 9 of the López de Bertodano Formation</td>
<td>Seymour (Marambio) Island, Antarctica</td>
<td>Upper-lower Maastrichtian</td>
<td>Distal end of metatarsal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ichnospecies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theropoda</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildeichnus navesi</td>
<td>MLP 60-X-31-5</td>
<td>La Matilde Formation</td>
<td>Laguna Manantiales ranch, Santa Cruz Province, Argentina</td>
<td>Middle Jurassic-lower Upper Jurassic</td>
<td>Cast of an isolated left pes (holotype)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarmientichnus scagliai</td>
<td>MLP 60-X-31-1A</td>
<td>La Matilde Formation</td>
<td>Laguna Manantiales ranch, Santa Cruz Province, Argentina</td>
<td>Middle Jurassic-lower Upper Jurassic</td>
<td>Impression of an isolated right foot (holotype)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ornithischia</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delatorrichnus goyenechei</td>
<td>MLP 60-X-31-6</td>
<td>La Matilde Formation</td>
<td>Laguna Manantiales ranch, Santa Cruz Province, Argentina</td>
<td>Middle Jurassic-lower Upper Jurassic</td>
<td>Trackway with manus and pes impressions (holotype)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Friedrich Von Huene (1929), who also made a revision of some specimens studied previously by Lydekker, describing and erecting many new sauropod taxa. The Museo de La Plata published in its "Anales," Los saurisquios y ornitisquios del Cretácéo argentino, a volume that includes Huene's main results and is one of the fundamental publications on South American dinosaurs of that time.

The DPV collection at MLP encloses virtually all the knowledge on South American dinosaurs of that time. Besides considering those materials previously studied by Ameghino, Smith, Woodward, and Lydekker, Huene described many others, identifying four new saurischian species, "Titanosaurus" robustus (materials from Cinco Saltos), Laplatasaurus araukanicus (materials from Cinco Saltos, General Roca, and Rancho de Ávila), Antarcotosaurus giganteus (those materials from General Roca mentioned by Richard Wichmann, in 1916), and Campylodon ameghinoi (a partial sauropod maxilla found by Carlos Ameghino in the Sierra de Bernardo, in the current Province of Chubut), and one new ornithischian, Loricosaurus scutatus (a series of dermal plates from the locality of Cinco Saltos, later attributed to sauropods by Powell, 1980).

Later, in 1947, Cabrera erected Amygdalodon patagonicus, a sauropod based upon some fragmentary remains from upper Lower Jurassic deposits of Chubut Province.

After a hiatus of more than 30 years, the dinosaur material at MLP was taken up by Bonaparte and Gasparini (1979) who made a taxonomic revision and specified lectotypes for some species of sauropod dinosaurs. Finally, the most recent investigator that occasionally worked with dinosaurs was Dr. Rodolfo Casamiquela, who briefly described basal sauropodomorphs and basal sauropods from Patagonia as well as three ichnospecies (Casamiquela, 1963, 1964, 1980).

Institutional Abbreviations

Av, Ráncho de Ávila collection.
CS, Cinco Saltos collection.
MACN, Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales "Bernardo Rivadavia," Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires Province (Argentina).
DPV, División Paleontología de Vertebrados.
Ly, Lydekker collection.
MLP, Museo de La Plata, La Plata, Buenos Aires Province (Argentina).
PVL, Instituto "Miguel Lillo," San Miguel de Tucumán, Tucumán Province (Argentina).

PROCEDURE

This catalogue includes all available information about the dinosaur types housed at the collection of DPV. The information includes: systematic hierarchy, taxon name, author and year, typological category, catalogue number, referred material, updated stratigraphic and geographic provenance, as well as any comments regarding the actual status of the taxon.

The systems used herein follows the well-established general scheme proposed by several authors. In this sense, Dinosauria is a monophyletic group composed by the two major clades Saurischia and Ornithischia (Novas, 1996; Sereno, 1997, 1999; Benton, 2004). The phylogenetic relationships within Dinosauria are presented in Figure 2. Saurischia comprises both Theropoda and Sauropodomorpha (Benton, 2004). Within Sauropodomorpha, the phylogenetic relationships of basal sauropodomorphs considered herein follows the proposals of Yates (2003, 2004) and Pol et al. (2011) in which "Prosauropoda" is regarded as paraphyletic. Sauropoda is a stem-based monophyletic group (Upchurch et al., 2004) composed by basal forms (e.g., vulcanodontids) and Neosauropoda, which includes Diplodocoidea and Macronaria (Wilson and Sereno, 1998). Theropod phylogenetic relationships follows Holtz et al. (2004), recognizing Ceratosauria and Tetanurae as the two main clades, the latter composed by Spinosauridea and Avetheropoda. The systematic arrangement of Ornithischia presented here follows Butler et al. (2008) in which two major clades of ornithischians are recognized: Thyreophora and Neornithischia, both enclosed in Genasauria (Sereno, 1986). For the in-group relationships of Ankylosauria the scheme of Thompson et al. (2012) was followed.

Taxonomic definitions follow those of Sereno (1998) unless otherwise stated.

Regarding the stratigraphic nomenclature, the original nomination is presented for each taxon, although an updated scheme was given where appropriate. For sediments of the Neuquén Basin, we followed the scheme of Leanza et al. (2004) for the Lower Cretaceous beds, and that of Garrido (2010) for the Upper Cretaceous beds. For sediments of the Chubut Group the scheme of Prosepio (1987) was followed.

Double quotes will be used when a generic name is no longer valid.
**SYSTEMATIC PALEONTOLOGY**

**DINOSAURIA** Owen, 1842  
**SAURISCHIA** Seeley, 1888  
**SAUROPODOMORPHA** von Huene, 1932

Sauropodomorpha are terrestrial herbivores that achieved the largest body masses in a range of time from the Late Triassic to Late Cretaceous (Galton and Upchurch, 2004; Upchurch et al., 2004). Sauropodomorph remains have been recovered in all terrestrial landmasses including Antarctica (Hammer and Hickerson, 1994; Galton and Upchurch, 2004; Smith and Pol, 2007; Cerda et al., 2011). Sauropodomorpha is regarded here as a stem-based sister group of Theropoda (sensu Yates, 2003; Pol et al., 2011; Carballido et al., 2011; D'Emic, 2012). Sauropoda is composed by a set of basal forms (e.g., *Shunosaurus*, *Patagosaurus*) and the well-established node-based Neosauropoda, which includes Diplodocoidea (i.e., diplodocoids and rebbachisaurids) and Macronaria (i.e., brachiosaurids and somphospondylans) (Carballido et al., 2011; D'Emic, 2012).

**SAUROPODA** Marsh, 1878  
Genus *AMYGDALODON* Cabrera, 1947

**Type species.** *Amygdalodon patagonicus* Cabrera, 1947

**Lectotype.** MLP 46-VIII-21-1/2, posterior dorsal vertebra (Rauhut, 2003) (Figure 3).

**Locality and age.** Cañadón Puelman, southwest from Cerro Carnerero, Sierra del Cerro Negro, Chubut Province, Argentina. Cerro Carnerero Formation (Late Toarcian to Early Aalenian (Rauhut, 2003).

**Comments.** *Amygdalodon patagonicus* is the stratigraphically oldest South American sauropod. Previous systematic assignments include it within “Cetiosauridae” (sensu Lydekker, 1888) (Cabrera, 1947; Casamiquela, 1963). Wilson (2002) regarded *A. patagonicus* as a member of Eusauropoda together with *Patagosaurus* and more derived sauropods upon the basis of the ‘cervical rib positioned ventrolateral to centrum’ (Wilson, 2002, p.267). Rauhut (2003) also placed this species within Eusauropoda based on several features present in the lectotype as well as in the referred specimens of *A. patagonicus*, although lacking synapomorphic traits that define higher eusauropod clades. The features listed by Rauhut (2003) as synapomorphic of Eusauropoda and present in *A. patagonicus* include, among others, the height of the dorsal neural arches being equal to or exceeding the height of the centrum and teeth with spatulate crown, wrinkled enamel, presence of V-shaped wear-facets and well-developed grooves on the labial surfaces of the crown paralleling the crown margins (Rauhut, 2003, p. 180). On the other hand, Upchurch et al. (2004) regarded it as Eusauropoda incertae sedis.

Carballido and Pol (2010) incorporated *Amygdalodon patagonicus* in a phylogenetic analysis for the first time, including dental characters, diagnosing some unique combination of characters and an autapomorphy for this taxon (i.e., enamel wrinkled forming a pattern of pits and narrow apicobasal sulci) (Carballido and Pol, 2010, p. 84). This analysis led the authors to conclude that *A. patagonicus* lies outside Eusauropoda and that *Amygdalodon* conforms a polytomy together with *Gongxianosaurus*, *Isanosaurus* and *Gravisauria* (i.e., vulcanodontids + Eusauropoda sensu Allain and Aquesbi, 2008).

---


**Lectotype.** MLP-CS 1128, right tibia and MLP-CS 1127, right fibula (Huene, 1929, pl. 27, figure 1, 2; Bonaparte and Gasparini, 1979) (Figure 4). **Hypodigm.** MLP-CS 1316, cervical vertebra; MLP-CS 1145/1131/1136/1146, dorsal vertebrae; MLP-CS 1348/1315/1352; caudal vertebrae; MLP-
FIGURE 4. *Laplatasaurus araukanicus* (lectotype). Right tibia (MLP-CS 1128) in medial (1), anterior (2), lateral (3), posterior (4), and proximal (5, anterior towards top) views. Right fibula (MLP-CS 1127) in lateral (6), posterior (7), medial (8), and anterior (9) views. Scale bar equals 100 mm.
CS1031, right scapula; MLP-CS 1262, coracoids; MLP-CS 1322, left sternal plate; MLP-CS 1174, incomplete left humerus; MLP-CS 1299, left radius; MLP-CS 1170/1168/1192/1196, metacarpals; MLP-CS 2002/1217, incomplete metacarpals; MLP-CS 1059, left pubis; MLP-CS 2202/2217, incomplete metatarsals (Huene, 1929; Powell, 2003, p. 22, 23; Salgado and Bonaparte, 2007, p. 208). Huene (1929, p. 56-58) also listed several remains, mostly caudal vertebrae, from Rancho de Ávila, Río Negro Province, as belonging to *Laplatasaurus araukanicus*, catalogued under MLP-Av.

**Locality and age.** Cinco Saltos and Lago Pellegrini, Río Negro Province. Río Colorado Subgroup (top of the Anacleto Formation) (Leanza, 1999), Lower Campanian (Leanza, 1999; Leanza and Hugo, 2001; Garrido, 2010).

**Comments.** As pointed out above, numerous materials were previously referred to *Laplatasaurus araukanicus*, even though their assignment is dubious. Huene (1929) noted that some elements previously referred by Lydekker (1893) to the genus “Titanosaurus” as well as other from Cinco Saltos were larger and more slender, erecting *Laplatasaurus araukanicus* as a distinct taxonomic entity. Huene (1929) presented an extensive list of materials from three different Argentinian quarries (i.e., Cinco Saltos, General Roca and Rancho de Ávila) as pertaining to *L. araukanicus* based upon the basis of its slender limb bones, although no diagnosis was given. Bonaparte and Gasparini (1979) specified a right fibula (MLP-CS 1127) and a rightibia (MLP-CS 1128) as the lectotype of *L. araukanicus*, considering this taxon generically distinct from “Titanosaurus” due to its gracile and slender condition. McIntosh (1990, p. 351) also listed additional fossil quarries where materials from *L. araukanicus* come from (i.e., Chubut and Salta Provinces). Wilson (2002, p. 249, 269) regarded *L. araukanicus* as a member of Saltasauridae (sensu Powell, 1992) based upon the presence of biconvex distal caudal centra, the distalmost biconvex caudal centra short and distal radius beveled dorsolaterally (see also Salgado and Bonaparte, 2007). Powell (2003) provided a diagnosis for this species and considered *L. araukanicus* as a taxon closely related to “Titanosaurus” *indicus* based on its size, robustness and general morphology; hence, he referred remains previously assigned to *Laplatasaurus* back to its former genus, as “Titanosaurus” *araukanicus*. Nonetheless, Powell (2003, p. 23, 24) reaffirmed its taxonomic separation from “*T.* indicus” mainly based on attributes of the caudal vertebrae (e.g., centra relatively much longer and laterally compressed in “*T.* indicus”) and also the separation from derived titanosaurs (i.e., Saltasaurinae sensu Powell, 1992) which are smaller in size and display much more robustness on the limbs. Besides, Powell (2003) restricted the name “Titanosaurus” *araukanicus* to specimens coming from Cinco Saltos and Lago Pellegrini, regarding materials from other localities as “Titanosaurus” *sp.* Powell (2003, p. 23) listed the double lateral tuberosity of the fibula and aspects of the proportions of the bones as diagnostic of the genus *Laplatasaurus*. The latter, thus, was revalidated by Upchurch et al. (2004).

Genus MICROCOELUS Lydekker, 1893

**Type species.** *Microcoelus patagonicus* Lydekker, 1893 nomen dubium

**Holotype.** MLP-Ly 23, anterior dorsal vertebra (Figure 5).

**Locality and age.** Right bank of the Río Neuquén, close to the city of Neuquén (Powell, 2003). Bajo de La Carpa Formation (Río Colorado Subgroup, Neuquén Group), Late Cretaceous, Santonian (Garrido, 2010).

**Comments.** Powell (2003, p. 44, 45) considered *Microcoelus patagonicus* as nomen dubium because of the lack of diagnostic features. There is also a left humerus (MLP-Ly 25) that Lydekker (1893) referred to *M. patagonicus*; however this material resembles in morphology and proportions to those of *Neuquensaurus australis* (Huene, 1929, P. 37; Powell, 2003, p. 45; Otero, 2010, p. 406).

Genus “TITANOSAURUS” Lydekker, 1877

**Type species.** “*Titanosaurus* nanus” Lydekker, 1893 nomen dubium

**Holotype.** MLP-Ly 18/19, incomplete cervical and dorsal vertebrae (Figure 6).

**Locality and age.** Right bank of the Río Neuquén, between 2 and 4 km before the railway bridge which crosses this river, close to the city of Neuquén (Powell, 2003). Bajo de La Carpa Formation (Río Colorado Subgroup, Neuquén Group), Late Cretaceous, Santonian (Garrido, 2010).

**Comments.** As well as with *Microcoelus patagonicus*, there are not features that permits distinguish “*Titanosaurus* nanus” at generic or specific level due to the incompleteness of the material (Powell, 2003, p. 24; Wilson and Upchurch, 2003).

Genus ARGYROSAURUS Lydekker, 1893

**Type species.** *Argyrosaurus superbus* Lydekker, 1893
Holotype. MLP 77-V-29-1, left forelimb, including the humerus, radius, ulna, and five metacarpals (Figure 7).

Locality and age. Pampa Pelada, northeast of Lake Colhué Huapi, Chubut Province, Argentina (Lydekker, 1893; Huene, 1929; Bonaparte and Gasparini, 1979; Mannion and Otero, 2012); upper member of the Bajo Barreal Formation, Chubut Group (Bonaparte and Gasparini, 1979; Casal et al., 2007); Cenomanian–Turonian in age (Bridge et al., 2000) or Campanian–Maastrichtian (Casal et al., 2007; see also Salgado and Bonaparte, 2007; Ibiricu et al., 2010; Prieto-Márquez and Salinas, 2010).

Referred material. Several remains from Argentina and Uruguay were formerly referred to *Argyrosaurus* by previous authors (Lydekker, 1893, Huene, 1929; Bonaparte and Gasparini, 1979; Powell, 2003). None of them are housed at Museo de La Plata. A complete revision and assignment of those materials are given in Mannion and Otero (2012).

Comments. From all the materials previously assigned to *Argyrosaurus* only MLP 77-V-29-1 was considered as belonging species (Mannion and Otero, 2012). Previous treatments on *Argyrosaurus superbus* lack a diagnosis (Lydekker, 1893) or that is vague without the specification of autapomorphies. Autapomorphic features and unique combination of characters for *Argyrosaurus superbus* are provided for the first time by Mannion and Otero (2012). The position of *A. superbus* within basal Titanosauria is based on the preservation of carpal bones (although currently missed) and the longest metacarpals are Mc. II and III, a feature that *Argyrosaurus* shares with the putative basal titanosaur *Janenschia* (Mannion and Otero, 2012). Although previous phylogenetic assignments of *Argyrosaurus* also considered it within basal titanosaur (*Sanz et al.*, 1999; Wilson, 2002), those were made upon the basis of the referred materials (e.g., PVL-4628 and MACN-CH 217), (Mannion and Otero, 2012).

The holotype of *Argyrosaurus superbus* was completely restored and is currently shown at the exhibition of Museo de La Plata.

Genus *ANTARCTOSAURUS* Huene, 1929

Type species. *Antarctosaurus giganteus* Huene, 1929

Holotype. MLP 26-316, two femora (Figure 8), two fragments of a pubis, distal end of a tibia, two incomplete caudal vertebrae as well as indeterminate fragments.

Locality and age. Aguada del Caño, 15 km to the north of China Muerta, Department of Confluencia, Neuquén Province. Plottier Formation (Neuquén Subgroup, Neuquén Group), Late Cretaceous, Coniacian–Santonian (Powell, 2003; Garrido, 2010).

Comments. *Antarctosaurus giganteus* is a controversial taxon since Powell (2003) and Bonaparte and Gasparini (1979) agrees that *A. giganteus* is a valid species although most probably pertain to a different genus. Upchurch et al. (2004) nonetheless regarded this species as a nomen dubium since it is based on incomplete material and no autapomorphies define it. The two holotypic femora of this species are mounted at the exhibition of the MLP.
GEN. ET SP. INDETERMINATE

Material. MLP 11-II-20-1, incomplete caudal vertebra (Figure 9).

Locality and age. Shallow marine shelf deposits from Upper Campanian (Santa Marta Formation), from Santa Marta Cove, northern James Ross Island (Cerda et al., 2011)

Comments. Although rather fragmentary, the single element presented by Cerda et al. (2011) constitutes the first record of a sauropod dinosaur from the Antarctic Peninsula. Despite the fact that the material is not complete, some diagnostic attributes can be noted, as the anterior position of the neural arch, which places the specimen within Titanosauriformes, and the procoely of the referred middle caudal vertebra links the specimen to Lithostrotia (Cerda et al., 2011).

SALTASAURINI Salgado and Bonaparte, 2007 (=Saltasaurinae Powell, 2003)

Saltasaurini includes the most derived titanosaurs, from the Late Cretaceous of Patagonia and north of Argentina. Powell (1992, 2003) originally includes here *Neuquensaurus* (="Titanosaurus") *australis* and *Saltasaurus loricatus* under Saltasaurinae. Later, Salgado et al. (1997, p. 24) define Saltasaurinae as ‘the clade including the more recent common ancestor of *Neuquensaurus australis* and *Saltasaurus loricatus.’ Subsequently, *Rocasaurus muniozi* Salgado and Azpilicueta, 2000 and *Bonatitan reigi* Martinelli and Forasiepi, 2004 were included in this clade. Sereno (1998) changed the definition of Saltasaurinae to ‘all saltasaurs closer to Saltasaurus than to Ophisthocoelicaudia,’ including under this definition many other taxa such as *Aeolosaurus rionegrinus* and *Alamosaurus sanjuanensis*, not included originally in the definition of Saltasaurinae by Powell (2003). Consequently, Salgado and Bonaparte (2007) pro-

FIGURE 6. “Titanosaurus” nanus nomen dubium (holotype). Cervical vertebra (MLP-Ly 19) in right lateral (1), posterior (2), and anterior (3) views. Dorsal vertebra (MLP-Ly 18) in left lateral (4), posterior (5), and anterior (6) views. Scale bar equals 100 mm.
posed Saltasaurini (=Saltasaurinae sensu Salgado et al., 1997; Powell, 2003): ‘the least inclusive clade containing Saltasaurus loricatus and Neuquensaurus australis.’

Genus NEUQUENSaurus (Lydekker, 1893)

**Type species.** "Titanosaurus" australis Lydekker, 1893

**Holotype.** MLP-Ly 1/2/3/4/5/6/7, sacrum and caudal vertebrae (Lydekker, 1893; D’Emic and Wilson, 2011) (Figure 10).

**Referred Specimens.** Numerous postcranial bones including vertebrae, girdles and limbs belonging to several individuals (Lydekker, 1893; Huene, 1929; Powell, 2003; Otero, 2010). The vertebral remains were arranged by Huene (1929) by “Series from Neuquén” and “Series from Cinco Saltos,” the former composed by three series and the later composed by four series. The appendicular material were reexamined in detail by Otero (2010), reassigning several bones (see below).

**Locality and age.** The holotype material as well as all the referred remains studied by Lydekker (1893) and Huene (1929) and housed at MLP come from Neuquén Province. Lamentably, Lydekker did not specify the precise geographic location of the find or data of the stratigraphic position. The materials studied by Huene (1929) come from General Roca and Cinco Saltos (“Gobernación de Río Negro,” currently homonym Province), from strata belonging to the “Dinosaurierschichten” (strata with dinosaurs, Keidel, 1917). These strata belong to Anacleto Formation (Senonense inferior sensu Huene, 1929, p. 11), Lower Campanian (Garrido, 2010).

**Comments.** The original holotype material of “T”. australis included six caudal vertebrae (Lydekker, 1893). D’Emic and Wilson (2011) identified the existence of congruent matrix deposits on the artic- ular surfaces of the first caudal vertebrae and the last sacral vertebrae of the sacrum MLP-Ly 7, recognizing the sacrum and the six caudals as belonging to the same individual, increasing the holotype of Neuquensaurus australis.

**Neuquensaurus robustus** (Huene, 1929)

**Type species.** v. 1990 Saltasaurus robustus (McIntosh, 1990) nomen dubium.
Lectotype. MLP-CS 1095, right ulna; MLP-CS 1094, left ulna; MLP-CS 1171, left radius; MLP-CS 1480, left femur (Huene, 1929; Bonaparte and Gasparini, 1979; Powell, 2003; Otero, 2010) (Figure 11).

Referred Specimens. Numerous postcranial bones including vertebrae, girdles and limbs (see Powell, 2003, p. 44; Otero, 2010).

Locality and age. The same as for Neuquensaurus australis.

Comments. Huene (1929) recognized Neuquensaurus (=”Titanosaurus”) robustus based on the relative robustness of the limb bones relative to “Titanosaurus” australis, but no type material was assigned. Later, Bonaparte and Gasparini (1979) specified lectotypes for those materials. Powell (2003) did not recognize differences between N. australis and N. robustus; hence he regarded the latter as nomen dubium. More recently, Otero (2010, p. 418, 419) reviewed the appendicular remains of both species and concluded that ‘many of the multiple specimens described by Lydekker (1893) and Huene (1929) seem to pertain to a single individual; others, to a single genus and even species. However, several elements described by those authors as “Titanosaurus” robustus probably belong to the type species, “Titanosaurus” australis, and vice versa. On the other hand, the status of

**FIGURE 8.** Antarctosaurus giganteus (holotype). Femora (MLP 26-316) exhibited on display at the MLP.
others cannot really be determined: they may belong to the type species, or they may represent another species or even genus’ (Otero, 2010, p. 418, 419). Further studies on *Neuquensaurus robustus* that include the vertebral material will clarify its definitive taxonomic status.

**THEROPODA** Marsh, 1881

Theropods include the carnivorous, biped dinosaurs, which inhabited all continents and can be found in terrestrial beds, in a range of time between the Late Triassic (Carnian) to Late Cretaceous. Theropoda is a stem-based group defined as ‘all saurischians more closely related to Neornithes than to *Saltasaurus*’ (Sereno, 1998). With the exception of basal forms with no established phylogenetic relationships, such as *Herrerasaurus* (Ezcurra, 2010; Nesbitt, 2011), theropod dinosaurs are nested within Neotheropoda, in which two

![FIGURE 9. Lithostrotia gen. et sp. indeterminate. Caudal vertebrae (MLP 11-II-20-1) in anterior (1), right lateral (2) and posterior (3) views. Scale bar equals 100 mm.](image1)

major clades are recognized: Ceratosauria and Tetanurae. The former comprises the non-avian theropods and the latter encloses the theropods in the line to crown-group birds (Tykoski and Rowe, 2004; Holtz et al., 2004).

NEOTHEROPODA Bakker, 1986
CERATOSAURIA Marsh, 1884
CERATOSAURIA insertae sedis
Genus GENYODECTES Woodward, 1901

Type species. Genyodectes serus Woodward, 1901

Holotype. MLP 26-39, incomplete premaxillae united to the anteroventral sections of the maxillae, and the anterior half of both dentaries, bearing upper and lower teeth, parts of both supradentaries and fragments of the left splenial (Woodward, 1901) (Figure 12).

Locality and age. Cañadón Grande, Department of Paso de Indios, Chubut Province, Cerro Castaño Member, Cerro Barcino Formation, Aptian-Albian, Lower Cretaceous (Rauhut, 2004; Coria, 2007).

Comments. Genyodectes serus was the first non-avian theropod described in South America. Despite its unquestionable theropod affinities, Genyodectes was regarded as a member of a variety of theropod groups, as megalosaurids (Huene, 1929), tyrannosaurids (Huene, 1932; Maleev, 1974) and abelisaurids (Paul, 1988), although no convincing argue was given. On the other hand, Tykosky and Rowe (2004) considered Genyodectes as nomen dubium. Rauhut (2004) made a deep revision of the materials and identify a combination of features that relates Genyodectes with Ceratosauria (e.g., presence of a flat or even slightly concave area adjacent to the marginal carinae in the lateral teeth, completely fused interdental plates, extreme transverse flattening of the lateral maxillary crowns, extreme length of the maxillary tooth crowns). The latter author considers Genyodectes as a valid taxon although notes the difficulty of placing this taxon within Ceratosauria confidently.

ORNITHISCHIA Seeley, 1888
GENASAURIA Sereno, 1986

FIGURE 11. Neuquensaurus robustus (lectotype). Left femur (MLP CS 1480) in anterior (1) and posterior (2) views. Left radius (MLP-CS 1171) in posterior (3), lateral (4), anterior (5), and medial (6) views. Left ulna (MLP-CS 1094) in lateral (7), posterolateral (8), proximal (9, anterior towards top), and anteromedial (10) views. Right ulna (MLP-CS 1095) in lateral (11), posteromedial (12), proximal (13, anterior towards top), and anteromedial (14) views. Scale bar equals 100 mm.
THYREOPHORA Nopsca, 1915
ANKYLOSAURIA Osborn, 1923

Ankylosauria comprises a clade of quadrupedal herbivorous ornithischians dinosaurs with a global record and a temporal range from the Middle Jurassic to Upper Cretaceous (Vickaryous et al., 2004; Thompson et al., 2012), defined (stem-based) as 'all eurypods (Ankylosauria + Stegosauria) closer to Ankylosaurus than to Stegosaurus' (Sereno, 1998). Ankylosaurs are characterized by the possession of parasagittal and transverse rows of osteoderms covering the dorsum and the presence of ornamented armored skulls (Vickaryous et al., 2004; Thompson et al., 2012). Ankylosauria comprises thyreophoran ornithischians and constitutes the sister group of Stegosauria with whom compose Eurypoda (Sereno, 1986; Vickaryous et al., 2004; Thompson et al., 2012). The interrelationships within Ankylosauria are not well established because the impossibility of identifying cranial sutures due to the presence of the cranial ornamentation and the conservative postcranial bauplan among the clade (Thompson et al., 2012). Nonetheless, two distinct clades are well recognized within Ankylosauria: Ankylosauridae, with broad-snouted skulls and tail club, and Nodosauridae, with elongated skulls and lacking tail club (Sereno, 1986; Vickaryous et al., 2004; Thompson et al., 2012).

NODOSAURIANAE Marsh, 1890
Genus ANTARCTOPELTA Salgado and Gasparini, 2006

Type species. Antarctopelta oliveroi Salgado and Gasparini, 2006

Holotype. MLP 86-X-28-1, partial skeleton consisting of a fragment of left dentary with an in situ tooth, three isolated teeth, a collection of fragmentary cranial ossifications, two cervical vertebrae, and a latex cast prepared from a natural mould of three articulated cervical vertebrae, about eight
fragments of dorsal ribs, two dorsal centra representing part of the presacral rod, a partial sacrum composed of three sacral centra, eight incomplete caudal vertebrae, the proximal (glenoid) portion of the left scapula, a fragment of the right ilium, a distal fragment of a left femur, five metapodials, two phalanges, and a collection of six different morphotypes of osteoderms (Figure 13).

**Locality and age.** Santa Marta Cove, North James Ross Island, Antarctica, locality D6-1 (Gasparini et al., 1987; Olivero et al., 1991; Salgado and Gasparini, 2006). Lower part of the Gamma Member of the Santa Marta Formation (Marambio Group) (Upper Campanian) (Olivero et al., 1991; Olivero, 1992).

**Comments.** Salgado and Gasparini (2006) discussed the affinities of *A. oliveroi* to both Ankylosauridae and Nodosauridae although regarded it as Ankylosauria indet. due to the presence of a mosaic of characters widely distributed among both ankylosaurian families (e.g., poorly developed cingulum on teeth III, lateral projections of the cranial ornamentation, presence of a tail club, pelvic shield formed by a strongly joined elements). Recently, Thompson et al. (2012) considered *A. oliveroi* as the basalmost member of Nodosauridae. However, because the fragmentary condition of this taxon, its definitively systematic placement is an open question.

ORNITHOPODA Marsh, 1881
HADROSAURIDAE Cope, 1869
HADROSAURINAE? Lambe, 1918

Collection number. MLP 99-I-10-1, cheek tooth (Figure 14).
Locality and age. Sandwich Bluff Member, López de Bertodano Formation; “Reptile Horizon” on the eastern flank of Sandwich Bluff, Vega island, Antarctica (Case et al., 2000).
Comments. The material MLP 99-I-10-1 represents the first hadrosaurian dinosaur reported from Antarctica (Case et al., 2000). At present, this material is missing.

HADROSAURIDAE?

ICHNOTAXA
Ichnogenus DELATORRICHNUS Casamiquela, 1964

Type ichnospecies. Delatorrichnus goyenechei Casamiquela, 1964
Holotype. MLP 60-X-31-6, trackway with manus and pes impressions (Figure 16.1).
Paratypes. MLP 60-X-31-7.
Referred specimens. MLP 60-X-31-1, MLP 65-XI-12-1/1, MLP 65-XI-12-1/2 and MLP 65-XI-12-1/3.
Locality and age. Laguna Manantiales, Santa Cruz Province, Argentina. La Matilde Formation (“Matilde” sensu Casamiquela, 1964), upper Middle Jurassic-lower Upper Jurassic (Riccardi and Damborenea, 1993; de Valais, 2011 and references therein).
Comments. The ichnospecies was established by Casamiquela (1964) and later revised by the same author (Casamiquela, 1966). The new evidence shows a manus with three toes, with digit III being the longest. The manus is bigger than the foot. Delatorrichnus was a quadrupedal animal, originally attributed to coelurosaurian dinosaurs (Casamiquela, 1964), although is now accepted an ornithischian affinities for this ichnotaxon (Bonaparte, 1996; De Valais, 2011).

FIGURE 14. Hadrosauridae indet. (MLP 99-I-10-1). Tooth in occlusal (1) and side (2) views. Scale bar equals 10 mm. (adapted from Case et al., 2000).

FIGURE 15. Hadrosauridae? (MLP 96-I-6-2). Distal end of metatarsal in dorsal (1), lateral or medial (2), ventral (3), lateral or medial (4), and distal (5) views. Scale bar equals 50 mm.
Ichngenus WILDEICHNUS Casamiquela, 1964

**Type ichnospecies.** *Wildeichnus navesi* Casamiquela, 1964

**Holotype.** MLP 60-X-31-5, isolated left pes print.

**Paratypes.** MLP 60-X-31-7B, MLP 60-X-31-9, MLP 60-X-31-11 (Figure 16.2).

**Referred specimens.** MLP 65-XI-12-1, MLP 65-XI-12-1/2.

**Locality and age.** Laguna Manantiales ranch, Santa Cruz Province. La Matilde Formation (*Matil-
dense” sensu Casamiquela, 1964), upper Middle Jurassic-lower Upper Jurassic (Riccardi and Damborenea, 1993; de Valais, 2011 and references therein).

Comments. The holotypic material couldn’t be found in the DVP collections. The morphology of the pes is consistent with theropod affinities.

Ichnogenus SARMIENTICHNUS Casamiquela, 1964
Type ichnospecies. Sarmientichnus scagliai Casamiquela, 1964
Holotype. MLP 60-X-31-1A, impression of an isolated right foot (Figure 16.3).
Paratypes. MLP 60-X-31-1B, trackway composed by four tracks, MLP 60-X-31-2A, MLP 60-X-31-2B, natural cast of MLP 60-X-31-1B.
Locality and age. Laguna Manantiales, Santa Cruz Province La Matilde Formation (“Matildense” sensu Casamiquela, 1964), upper Middle Jurassic-lower Upper Jurassic (Riccardi and Damborenea, 1993; de Valais, 2011 and references therein).
Comments. There is a wide consensus in attributing this ichnotaxon to Theropoda (Casamiquela, 1964; Coria and Paulina Carabajal, 2004; de Valais, 2011).
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