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ABSTRACT

New finds of Halecomorphi fish remains from Late Jurassic limestones of Owadów-Brzezinki (Poland) are investigated using X-ray microcomputed tomography (XMT) revealing details of jaw bones for actinopterygian fish histology. Three-dimensional (3-D) reconstruction allows for correct taxonomical verification. The possibility of 3-D printing gives the opportunity for work with a model in any desired scale without risk of damaging the specimen.

INTRODUCTION

This paper presents the recently discovered jaw bones of halecomorph fish studied with X-ray microcomputed tomography. The bones came from new paleontological site of the Sławnostone limestones at the Owadów-Brzezinki quarry (Figure 1) about 19 km south-east of Tomaszów Mazowiecki (Central Poland), where unusually well-preserved fossils of latest Jurassic (Late Tithonian) terrestrial and marine organisms (including also remains of pterosaurs, ammonites, horseshoe crabs, decapod crustaceans and insects) were discovered (Błażejowski, 2015; Kin and Błażejowski, 2012, 2014; Błażejowski et al., 2015).

The most interesting feature of the Owadów-Brzezinki quarry (Figure 2) is some similarity to the classic Solnhofen lithographic limestone (e.g.,...
Munnecke et al. 2008). The fossils identified at both localities indicate a similar geological age and environment. The similarities refer to both marine and terrestrial organisms and allow comparative paleontological studies at a previously unattainable level of high taxonomic resolution (Bechly and Kin, 2013; Kin et al., 2012; 2013).

The best preserved, often complete and articulated, specimens of Upper Jurassic actinopterygian fish fossils are known from the late Kimmeridgian to early Tithonian lithographic limestones in the Altmühltal and nearby region (Solnhofen-Eichstätt area and Wattendorf, Bavaria, Germany) (Chellouche et al., 2012; Ebert and Kölbl-Ebert, 2012; Lambers, 1999), and the late Kimmeridgian lithographic limestone in Nusplingen (Baden-Württemberg, Germany) (Heineke, 1906; Heimberg, 1949; Dietl and Schweigert, 2004), Cerin (south-eastern France) (Wenz et al., 1993) and the nearby locality of Orbagnoux (Sauvage, 1893). The fauna from the lower Tithonian lithographic limestone of Canjuers, France (Fabre et al., 1982; Peyer et al., 2014) appear to be very similar. More or less complete and articulated fish specimens are also known from Upper Jurassic strata of England (e.g., Woodward, 1893, 1895, 1897, 1915-1917; Jain and Robinson, 1963). An overview is given in Dineley and Metcalf (1999).

From other regions in Europe mainly fragmentary remains, such as vertebrae and skull fragments, but more often scales and teeth have been described. From Switzerland the small Tithonian fish fauna of Solothurn (teeth, scales) is known, which is comparable to the fauna’s from Southern Germany (Müller, 2011). Pictet and Jaccard (1860) described fish remains (teeth, scales) from the Upper Jurassic of Neuchatel in Switzerland. Fricke (1876) described a fish fauna (pycnodont teeth and a single articulated specimen; see also Kriwet, 2008; Licht, 2011) from the Upper Jurassic near Hannover, Northwestern Germany. Kriwet (1998, 2000, 2002, 2005) and Klug and Kriwet (2012) reported fish remains from the Upper Jurassic of Portugal and Spain. Fragmentary fossils are known from several localities in France (e.g., Sauvage, 1902; Priem, 1912, 1917; Pharisat, 1975; Cuny et al., 1991; Wenz et al., 1987; Vullo et al., 2014,
Cavin, 2010) and also from the Tithonian of Italy (Gemmellaro, 1871; Bassani, 1885). Most of these descriptions deal with caturid teeth, lepidotid scales and teeth and pycnodont teeth. Mudroch and Thies (1996) and Thies and Mudroch (1996) were the first to present detailed descriptions based on fish teeth from the Kimmeridgian of Oker in Northwestern Germany.

The Owadów-Brzezinski quarry near Tomaszów Mazowiecki (Central Poland) is an outcrop of Late Tithonian strata. It may be considered as a close stratigraphic equivalent to the Early Tithonian sediments of the Solnhofen area in Bavaria, Germany. Fragmentary fish fossils from Owadow-Brzezinski have previously been figured (Kin and Błażejowski, 2012, 2013). In the present paper two jaw bones will be described in more detail.

Since the preparation of specimens from the rock in any conventional means was almost impossible due to risk of unrecoverable destruction, we used X-ray microcomputed tomography (XMT), a non-invasive tool (Błażejowski et al., 2011) that allows us to look into the internal structure of investigated specimens, and after computer processing, to render a 3-D model (Figures 3, 4) with further possibility of generating virtual cross-sections in any plan through teeth bones (Figures 3.4, 4.3). The quality of XMT imaging revealed details important for histology studies, which may be a ground for later comparative studies.

**GEOLOGICAL AND PALEONTOLOGICAL SETTINGS**

The study area is located approximately 18 km southeast of Tomaszów Mazowiecki (Central Poland). At the moment, the Owadow-Brzezinki quarry is the only place in extra-Carpathians Poland where the Upper Tithonian strata are available to study (the classic locality Brzostówka is now within the Tomaszów Mazowiecki town limits and inaccessible; quarries in Pomerania are flooded) (Kin et al., 2013). The exposed carbonate sequence belongs to the Kcynia Formation and can be divided into four successive units. Unit I is
composed of indistinctly laminated massive fine-grained limestone (~6.6 m total thickness) with Deltoideum delta, which forms a few beds of 40–80 cm thickness. The overlying c. 2 meters thick unit II is represented by thinly-bedded, fine-grained limestones with occasional distinctive parallel lamination and mass occurrence of calcareous polychaete tubes in one horizon. Within this part of the profile quite common specimens of decapod crustaceans (lobsters) were found. Unit III, c. 15.6 m thick, is highly fossiliferous and has yielded the specimens that are the subject of this study. Unit IV, c. 2.3 m in thickness, the top being not exposed, is developed as organodetrital limestone rich in Nanogryra oysters, bryozoans and serpulids. They often form small bioherms. In general, units I, II and III probably represent a transition from an offshore to nearshore, perhaps lagoonal, setting, whereas unit IV bears evidence of a return to more open marine conditions. Below the Kcynia Fm yellowish marls and marly clays of the Pałuki Formation occur.

The uppermost part of the unit (III) is highly fossiliferous, with a horizon of finely bedded fine-grained limestones at its base (also called the 'Corbulomima horizon'), dominated by small opportunistic bivalve Corbulomima. It follows that the 'Corbulomima horizon' in the higher portion of the section (i.e., unit III), from which jaw bones of actinopterygian fishes originate, was laid down in a very shallow marine basin, which had rather limited links with the open sea (Kin et al., 2013). The constant proximity of the open sea is indicated by occasional finds of ammonites of the genus Zaraiskites (Semenov). Other fossils are rare and represented by exceptionally well-preserved horseshoe crabs, disarticulated remnants of various marine and land arthropods (including decapods, beetles, dragonflies and grasshoppers) and moulds of ammonite shells. Moreover, teeth, bones and rarely partially articulated skeletons of various vertebrates occur.

**METHODOLOGY**

X-ray microcomputed tomography (XMT), is an imaging technique, which detects differences in the attenuation of an X-ray beam propagating through a solid object, and as a result detailed information about internal structure is obtained. This data after computer processing allows us to reconstruct a virtual 3-D model of studied bones.

**FIGURE 4.** Maxillary bone of osteichthyan fish *Caturus* sp. (ZPAL P.16/O-B/2): 1-2. reconstruction of 3-D 'virtual fossils'— the same specimen after digital processing and analysis of tomographic data (scale bars equal 10 mm). 3. vertical sections of *Caturus* sp. teeth. 4. just after discovery.
(Figures 3, 4), and after removing the background from the images by thresholding, a set of virtual cross-sections through teeth can be generated (Figures 3.4, 4.3) (Błażejowski et al., 2013; Sutton et al., 2014). We used the most effective methods for digital processing and analysis of tomographic data, enabling the construction of isosurface-based and volume-based 3-D 'virtual fossils', which can be manipulated and dissected interactively. The resulting images are similar to traditional ones obtained by destructive slicing, and the resolution here is limited by voxel size of computed model, reaching 22.4 × 22.4 × 22.4 μm. The collected material is housed at the Institute of Paleobiology, Polish Academy of Science in Warsaw (ZPAL P.16/O-B).

DESCRIPTION

Specimen A

Specimen (A) is the left dentary of the lower jaw of an actinopterygian fish. It has previously been figured in Kin and Błażejowski (2012, figure 10) and Kin et al. (2013, figure 5A) as a ?Pleurosaurus sp. The bone is slender and elongate, 3.4 cm long and slightly curved inwards (Figure 3). The ventral border slightly undulates. The outer surface is striated and wrinkled near the dentigerous border. The pores of the sensory canal are obvious. The bone forms a blunt, rounded anterior symphyseal region. The dentary consists of an anterior dentigerous portion and posteriorly a pronounced coronoid process. The dentigerous portion is 2.1 cm long and about 6 mm high halfway its length. The coronoid process is 4 mm high. The dorsal-most point of the coronoid process is at the level of dorsal tips of the teeth. It seems that the coronoid process is damaged, and a part is broken off posteriorly. The posterior border that sutures with the angular is deeply V-shaped invaginated and has a posterior ventral process that projects further posterodorsally. The posterior border of the coronoid process is as known from halecomorph fishes (e.g., see figures in Grande and Bemis, 1998; Lambers, 1994, 1998). To the Halecomorphi belong the Parasemionotiformes (e.g., Watsonulus from Madagascar, Ospia from East Greenland and species from China, see Quanguo, 2009), Ionoscopiformes (e.g., Ionoscopus, Furo), and Amiiformes, the latter including the Caturidae (Amblysemius, Caturus) and Amiidae, (recent Amia calva and its closest fossil relatives, such as Pachyamia, Solnhofenamia and Amiopsis) (Chalifa and Tchernov, 1982; Grande and Bemis, 1998; Martín-Abad and Poyato-Ariza, 2013). Throughout the Mesozoic many halecomorph genera have been described worldwide, but from the Paleocene onwards they are restricted to the Aminiidae (Cyclurus and Amia) only (Martín-Abad and Poyato-Ariza, 2013; Poyato-Ariza and Martín-Abad, 2013).

The height of the coronoid process might be a distinctive feature. In the present specimen the coronoid process and the teeth are of approximately the same height. This is comparable to the lower jaw figured in a specimen of Furo microlepidotes (Lambers, 1998, figure 5). In Solnhofenamia, Amiopsis and Furo muensteri the coronoid process seems higher than the tips of the teeth (Grande and Bemis, 1998; Lambers, 1994, pers.obs.; Lane
and Ebert, 2012). In *Amblysemius* the tips of the teeth and the coronoid process are approximately at the same level. But in all these figured specimens the outline of the posterior part of the dentary and coronoid is partly obscured by other bones, such as the maxilla, which makes an exact observation difficult.

In figures 43-45 of Grande and Bemis (1998) the height of the coronoid process of the dentary of *Amia*, with respect to the much lower teeth, is clearly visible.

The deep V-shaped invagination of the posterior border and the long ventral posterior portion are conspicuous. In a specimen of *Furo microlepidotes* a deeply invaginated posterior border of the dentary, with a ventral process that extends slightly further posteriorly than the posterior border of the coronoid process has been figured (Lambers, 1998, figure 5).

In *Solnhofenamia* the posterior border is only a little invaginated, or in some specimens not invaginated at all and rather convex (Grande and Bemis, 1998). In *Amiopsis lepidota* the posterior border is deeper invaginated than in *Solnhofenamia*, especially in the specimen in figure 361 in Grande and Bemis (1998). But the ventral portion of the dentary does not proceed beyond the posterior border of the coronoid process. In *Amblysemius* the dentary is slightly invaginated (Lambers, 1994, figure 3; Grande and Bemis, 1998, figure 405). In *Furo muensteri* the dentary sutures posterodorsally along an interdigitating zig-zag structure with a long posteriorly projected ventral portion (Lane and Ebert, 2012, figure 4).

The shape of the teeth, with a crown clearly narrower than the shaft, is similar to that figured of teeth of *Ionoscopus* (Mudroch and Thies, 1996). But the crown lacks keels, whereas *Ionoscopus* teeth have two small mesial and distal keels (see also Kriwet, 1998; Prasad et al., 2004). *Caturus* teeth have a distinctive arrowhead shaped crown with large lateral keels (Mudroch and Thies, 1996). Among amiids only the Vidalamini have keeled crowns. Keeled crowns have not been described in species of *Furo*.

The number of teeth *Furo microlepidotes*, *Furo muensteri Solnhofenamia elongata*, *Amiopsis lepidota*, *Amblysemius pachyurus*, is comparable, all these have about 13-15 teeth in the lower jaw.

Considering the shape and relative dimensions of the dentary and the characteristics of the dentition as outlined. The specimen is tentatively identified as cf. *Furo microlepidotes*. *Furo microlepidotes* is known from the limestones of Solnhofen (Lambers, 1998).

**Specimen B**

This fossil is figured in Kin and Błażejowski (2012, figure 9) and Kin et al. (2013, figure 5B) as a dentary bone of *Caturus* sp. The specimen is interpreted as a fragment of a left maxilla, anteriorly and posteriorly incomplete (Figure 4). The fragment is around 5.9 cm long. This indicates a large fish of at least 1 meter long. Nine teeth are more or less completely preserved, and in three teeth only the sockets are visible. The teeth are roughly between 1 cm long and 0.5 cm broad. All teeth are longer than the depth of the supporting bone. They are conical, with a broad and laterally compressed, slightly indented base. All teeth have an acrodin cap. The most anterior tooth is best preserved and shows a small, arrow cap with anterior and posterior keels. The dorsal border of the bone slightly undulates.

**Identification.** The arrow shaped crowns of the teeth with anterior and posterior flanges resembles those of the halecomorph *Caturus* (Mudroch and Thies, 1996).

The specimen resembles the maxilla of the large Portlandian *C. cliftoni* figured by Woodward (1895, pl. VII, 5, pers. obs.) in that the teeth are longer than the depth of the supporting bone and somewhat slender, but it differs in that they are more widely spaced. From the contemporaneous Upper Jurassic German localities *C. giganteus* is known, of which specimens of up to 1 meter are collected. The maxillary teeth of *C. giganteus* are however not much longer than the depth of the supporting bone (Lambers, 1994, figure 3). The specimen cannot be determined more precisely than as *Caturus* sp.

**DISCUSSION**

Besides irreversible damage of investigated specimens, one of the most important disadvantages of traditional sectioning is very limited information about volumetric dependencies within the internal structure (histology). X-ray tomography with proper images post processing allows generation of a 3-D model in a form of “virtual fossil” can be manipulated, measured and dissected interactively (Błażejowski et al., 2011). It is also possible to print a reconstructed shape on a 3-D printer in actual size or any desired magnification (Figure 3.5), with all internal structure retained. Sample visualization (3-D model) of the discussed dental bones are shown in Supplemental Materials.
A detailed projection of investigated fossil, including parts that are hidden in rock, (Figure 3.6) allows us to identify the taxonomy to the genus level. It turns out that first, preliminary identification after examining visible fragments of specimen as a mandible of aquatic sphenodontian *Pleurosaurus* ex gr. *goldfussi* (Kin et al., 2013) was wrong. Current study indicates that the specimen is a dentary bone of halecomorph fish *Furo* sp. (Figure 3).

Both jaw fragments belong to halecomorph fishes known from the Upper Jurassic deposits from Europe and from the Tithonian limestone of Solnhofen in particular. The limestone of Owadow-Brzezinski is stratigraphically close to Solnhofen, being about 5 Ma older. Preliminary analysis of its fauna has revealed that the land and marine macrofauna of both localities is very similar. The presently described jaw bones that most probably belong to species known previously only from Solnhofen strongly support this view.
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SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

*Caturus* sp. (top) and *Furo* sp. (bottom). See palaeo-electronica.org/content/2015/1354-jurassic-fish-xray for animation.