The first fossil skull of *Chelus* (Pleurodira: Chelidae, Matamata turtle) from the early Miocene of Colombia
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ABSTRACT

Here we describe the first fossil skull so far known for the turtle genus *Chelus* from the early Miocene (~ 16 m.y.), Castilletes Formation, Alta Guajira Peninsula, Cocinetas basin, Colombia. The skull is partially preserved, including most of the basicranium (pterygoid-basioccipital bones) and the roof elements including the parietal, pterygoid and portions of the squamosal, supraoccipital and the most dorsal quadrate. The skull is preserved in three dimensions, without evidence of crushing, allowing the observation of the internal braincase morphology using microcomputer tomography. Comparisons with the skull of the only extant species for the genus *Chelus fimbriata* (Matamata turtle) allow us to conclude that for the last 16 million years the morphology of the skull for this genus has remained almost unvarying, with only a slightly higher compression of the most anterior braincase exhibited by the extant species. Due to its fragmentary condition, a more refined identification beyond the genus (*Chelus* sp.) is not possible; however, the overall skull design indicates that the fossil species could also have had the same ecological and dietary adaptations as its extant relative.
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INTRODUCTION

The extant freshwater turtle species *Chelus fimbriata* Schneider, 1783 (Matamata turtle) from tropical South America is one of the most bizarre turtles, and the only living species in the genus. It is characterized by a very flat skull with a rugose dorsal bone surface among pleurodiran turtles, and a carapace with three lines of almost parallel knobs. The turtle inhabits shallow depths of slow-moving or still waters of the Amazon and Orinoco basins,
and it has a mostly carnivorous diet (Pritchard and Trebbau, 1984; Pritchard, 2008). The morphology of the skull of *C. fimbriata* was described in detail by Gaffney (1977), and more recently its cranial musculature and its feeding mechanisms were described by Lemell et al. (2010). This study suggested that the flat configuration of the skull, the size and shape of the muscles, the large ossified hyoid apparatus, and the well-distensible esophagus allow *C. fimbriata* to produce an enormous suction force to inhale its prey.

The fossil record of *Chelus* is restricted to shell material and is represented by two species: *C. lewisi* Wood, 1976, known from the late Miocene, Urumaco Formation of Venezuela (Sánchez-Villagra and Scheyer, 2010), and *C. colombiana* Wood, 1976, from the middle to late Miocene, Villa-vieja Formation in Colombia, the late Miocene of Estado do Acre of Brazil, and the early Miocene Barzalosa Formation (earliest record for the genus) in Colombia (Wood, 1976; Bocquentin and Rodrigues dos Santos, 1989; Cadena et al., 2008). Recently, shell material of *C. colombiana* and an indeterminate species, potentially related to *C. lewisi* were reported for the early Miocene (~ 16.2 m.y.), Castilletes Formation, Alta Guajira Peninsula in the Cocinetas basin of Colombia (Cadena and Jaramillo, 2015) (Figure 1.1-3). Also from this locality we describe here the first fossil skull for *Chelus*. Using micro computed tomography, we compared the morphological differences between the fossil and the extant representative of this genus, discussing the evolutionary and ecological implications.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

Three specimens of *Chelus fimbriata* (see Figure 1.4, for general body plan) were used for comparisons with the fossil skull described here. These specimens allow the study not only of the exterior morphology of the skull, but also of the braincase. The head of a wet preserved specimen of *C. fimbriata* (SM 57977) was dissected following the steps described by Wynken (2001), and most of the roof skull bones were removed. A dry preserved skull SM 37178 (a male) and the fossil partial skull MUN-STRI-dbid 38473 were scanned using Tomo-Scope HV 500 at the Hochschule Deggendorf, Bavaria, Germany, with the following setup parameters: voltage 190 kV, exposure time of 0.3 s, cur-
rent 220 microamps, voxel size 2.5 μm and 1200 steps. CT images were processed and analyzed using VGStudioMax version 2.2. A third specimen used for comparison was taken from the Digital Morphology library (www.digimorph.org/specimens/Chelus_fimbriatus/head/) specimen UMA R-1376 (Gaffney, 2002).

Institutional Abbreviations

MUN, Museo de la Universidad del Norte, Barranquilla, Atlántico, Colombia, the repository of the specimens; SM, Senckenberg Museum of Natural History, herpetological collection, Frankfurt, German; STRI-dbid, Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, geological sample collection, Balboa, Ancon, Panama; web access to this database is available at http://biogeodb.stri.si.edu; UMA, Massachusetts Museum of Natural History, Amherst, MA, USA.

SYSTEMATIC PALAEONTOLOGY

Infraorder PLEURODIRA Cope, 1864
Family CHELIDAE Gray, 1825
Genus CHELUS Dumeril, 1806

Included species. Chelus fimbriata Schneider, 1783 (extant, see Figure 2.1-6), Chelus colombiana Wood, 1976 (sensu Cadena et al., 2008) (fossil), Chelus lewisi Wood, 1976 (fossil).

Revised diagnosis. Combined from Gaffney (1977) and Cadena et al. (2008). Chelus differs from all other chelid turtles by: (1) absence of nasal bones; (2) broad exposure of the prefrontals dorsally along the aperture narium; (3) anterior exten-
sion of the pterygoid into the aperture narium interna, often separating the vomer from the palatines; (4) extreme flattening of the dorsal skull, particularly in the center; (5) extreme lateral projection of the cavum tympani; (6) medial process of jugal and postorbital lying entirely on dorsal surface of skull; (7) maxilla very reduced in exposure on triturating surface, so that palate bears lingual ridge; (8) basisphenoid with a bone tissue pattern extremely acicular tapering anteriorly; (9) dorsal surface of the parietals and frontals very rugose, with very deep sulci for scutes; (10) costovertebral tunnel of the carapace; (11) dorsal surface of the carapace ornamented with three prominently raised, longitudinal ridges, one bearing five knobs and extending along the midline of carapace; (12) scar on ventral surface for contact of inguinal buttress restricted to costal 4.

**CHELUS** sp.

Figure 2.7-14

**Referred material.** MUN-STRI-dbid 38473. Partially preserved skull.

**Locality, horizon, and age.** Chelus sp. MUN-STRI-dbid 38473 comes from the lower segment of the Castilletes Formation, locality number 290666-3 (Braincase), Kaitama river, dated as ~16.2 m.y., radiometric age for the lower segment (Moreno et al., 2015).

**Description and remarks.** Chelus sp. MUN-STRI-dbid 38473 is a partially preserved skull with a maximum length of 67 mm, maximum width of 50 mm, and maximum height of 27 mm (all measurements as preserved). On the dorsal surface of the skull, most of the parietal bone is preserved exhibiting the characteristic dorsal surface corrugation as in the extant Chelus fimbriata; this sculpturing pattern is related to the abundant number of skull scutes with very deep sulci, and irregular in number and shapes.

At the most anterior edge of both parietals the contact with the frontals is at least visible at the most right lateral portion of the skull; however, the frontals are only preserved as a very thin layer of the bone (the most ventral portion of bones). Portions of the pterygoids are also preserved as very thin layers of bone, highly eroded, with their medial contacts with the parietals hidden in dorsal view occurring under the most lateral portions of parietals, as in C. fimbriata. On the left side of the skull, a small portion of the squamosal bone is preserved, and a portion of the supraoccipital bone at the most posterior medial region. On the ventral surface, the basisphenoid has the characteristic elongated dagger shape, as in C. fimbriata, separating most of the pterygoids, which only have a short medial contact anteriorly. The basisphenoid is the only skull bone in Chelus sp. MUN-STRI-dbid 38473 with clearly delimited suture contacts and shape. Direct examination of skulls of C. fimbriata (Appendix 1) and MUN-STRI-dbid 38473 described here, allow us to conclude that the basisphenoid bone for the genus Chelus has a very distinct osteological pattern, where the bone tissue grows extremely acicular and tapering anteriorly; this pattern can also occur in the most medial portions of the pterygoid bone. The basioccipital, preserved only at its most dorsal extension, is similar to that in C. fimbriata, with a rectangular shape and with lateral acute tip processes facing posteriorly. Very small medial portions of opisthotics, prootics and exoccipitals are preserved; however, the sutural contacts with the basisphenoid and basioccipital are not clearly defined.

**Braincase morphology.** The CT images (Figure 3.1-7) show that most of the internal structure of the braincase, as well as the cavum tympani, are not well preserved and have mostly been eroded and filled by the rock matrix, which includes small bivalves and other shell (mollusc) fragments (Figure 3.3-4). However, some features are still well recognized, including the ascending dorsal portions of the pterygoids (Figure 3.2-3), the contact between the frontal and parietals, and the contact between the parietals and the supraoccipital (Figure 3.4-5). The ascending lateral processes of the basisphenoid are also well delimited (Figure 3.6), as are portions of the descending ventral process of the parietal (Figure 3.7). In all morphological features and sutural contacts, the fossil skull of Chelus sp. described here, resembles the skull of the extant C. fimbriata, except for the size of the endocranium, see below.

**DISCUSSION**

**Endocranium**

Using the CT images obtained for the fossil Chelus sp. MUN-STRI-dbid 38473 (Appendices 2-4), the extant C. fimbriata SM 37178 (Appendices 4-7), the specimen available at Digimorph C. fimbriata UMA R-1376, and the sectioned dry skull of C. fimbriata SM 57977, and considering that the basisphenoid is the only complete and well delimited bone for the fossil skull, we defined two points for measuring the height of the endocranium and explore the differences between extant and the fossil Chelus (Figures 4 and 5). The first point of measurement is at the sutural contact between the
basisphenoid and basioccipital and the second at the most anterior tip of the basisphenoid (see Figure 4 for measurements details). From this, we found that the anterior height of endocranium cavity is smaller relative to the posterior height in extant specimens of *Ch. fimbriata*, compared to *Chelus* sp. (Figures 4 and 5). This feature is conservative through ontogeny, as SM 57977 is a juvenile, and UMA R-1376 is younger, attribution based not only on the size of the skull, but also on the level of ossification of sutural bone contacts. There is not evidence of disarticulation or dislocation of bones for the fossil skull, which has retained almost perfectly the bilateral symmetry (see Figure 2.10, 2.14), instead, the bone surface delimiting the endocranium cavity dorsally and ventrally is smooth as in the extant specimens, indicating that alteration of the bone thickness or of the endocranial cavity due to abrasion or erosion was minimal. We point out here also that the variations observed in the height of the endocranium between the fossil and extant *Chelus* could correspond to intraspecific variability, and that more specimens of *Ch. fimbriata* from different geographical populations, ontogenetic stages and sex should be included in future studies in order to support this hypothesis. Similar as it has been done with the variation in the shell morphology of *Ch. fimbriata*, between Orinoco and Amazonas basin populations (Sanchéz-Villagra et al., 1995).

**Paleoeocological Implications**

In morphology, bone histology, and size, the fossil *Chelus* sp. from the Castilletes Formation is almost identical to the extant adult skull of *C. fimbriata* (SM 37178). These similarities indicate that the design of the flat head, which prevents a bow wave during fast forward movement of the strike, when the turtle is catching and suctioning the pray (Lemell et al., 2010), has persisted for at least the
FIGURE 4. CT images of Chelus sp. and the extant C. fimbriata in sagittal view. These figures show the difference in the height of the endocranium between the fossil skull described here and juveniles and adults of the extant representative of Chelus; all cuts are located at the midline of the skull. 1, Chelus sp. MUN-STRI-db1 38473 (adult); 2, C. fimbriata SM 37178 (adult); 3, C. fimbriata UMA R-1376 (hatchling-juvenile?); 4, C. fimbriata SM 57977 (juvenile). The basisphenoid bone is delimited by light blue color.
last 16 million years. The higher anterior portion of the endocranial cavity exhibited by the fossil *Chelus* sp., which corresponds to the space of the cranium occupied principally by the olfactory bulb as seen in the brain reconstructions for other turtles (Carabajal et al., 2013), could indicate a slightly larger olfactory bulb compared to the extant representative. However, without documentation or illustrations of the brain of extant species, we are unable to test this hypothesis at this point. To explore potential physiological implications size variation in the anterior endocranium, we encourage a future study of the brain of the extant species, *C. fimbriata*, which will contribute to a better understanding not only of its physiology and ecology, but also of the evolution of chelid turtles.

Environmental reconstructions for the lower segment of Castilletes Formation (Moreno et al., 2015), where the fossil skull of *Chelus* sp. was found, suggest estuarine-brackish environments with a marked marine influence. These environments are within the wide spectrum of habitats documented for the extant *C. fimbriata* (Pritchard, 2008).

Considering the high degree of fragmentation exhibited by the skull, however, we do not exclude the possibility of transport before burial; it is therefore possible that the depositional environment interpreted does not necessarily correspond to the original habitat. The presence of *Chelus* in the Castilletes Formation also indicates a profound transformation of the landscape of the Guajira peninsula over the past 16 m.y. The Cocinetas basin is today characterized by being extremely arid, with a prolonged dry season (~11 months), dominated by xerophytic vegetation and lacking large rivers or year-round bodies of fresh water. *Chelus fimbriata*, however, requires the shallow depths of slow-moving or still waters all year round to survive (Pritchard, 2008). This contrast suggests that a major change in the Cocinetas landscape occurred during the late Neogene that led to the extant aridification of the region.
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APPENDIX 1.

List of specimens of *Chelus frimbiata* directly examined by the senior author. Abbreviations: ICN, Instituto Colombiano de Ciencias Naturales, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Bogotá, Colombia; MNHN, Muséum National d’Histoire Naturelle, Paris, France; NMW, Naturhistorisches Museum Wien, Vienna, Austria; Herpetological Collection, Senckenberg Museum, Frankfurt, Germany; USNM, Smithsonian National Museum of Natural History, Washington D.C., USA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ICN</th>
<th>1767, 1776, 1780, 1781, 6411, D-75, and D-90</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NMW</td>
<td>1859, 39830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM</td>
<td>57977, 37178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USNM</td>
<td>064154, 117455, 301989, 301991, 301992</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX 2-7

1, Sagittal cut of *Chelus* sp. MUN-STRI-dbibid 38473 from appendix 2. 2, coronal cut of *Chelus* sp. MUN-STRI-dbibid 38473 from Appendix 3. 3, horizontal cut of *Chelus* sp. MUN-STRI-dbibid 38473 from Appendix 4. 4, sagittal cut of *Chelus fimbriata* SM 37178 from Appendix 5. 5, coronal cut of *Chelus fimbriata* SM 37178 from Appendix 6. 6, horizontal cut of *Chelus fimbriata* SM 37178 from Appendix 7. The figures below are from the online animations. Appendices 2-7 are available online in animated format at: palaeo-electronica.org/content/2015/1231-drimolen-makondo-fauna